# **Naval Research Laboratory**

Washington, DC 20375-5000



AD-A235 611

NRL Memorandum Report 6820

# User's Guide for SAMUEL, Version 1.3

JOHN J. GREFENSTETTE AND HELEN G. COBB

Navy Center for Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence Information Technology Division

May 6, 1991



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

91 5 10 066

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average. I hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching easiting data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden. To Washington the Headbardner's Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA. 2220, 4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperhorit Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.

Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2220, 4302	and to the Office of Management and Bu	duarters services, Directorate to	r information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson, ect (0704-0188). Washington, DC 20503
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan!)	2. REPORT DATE 1991 May 6	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
User's Guide for SAMUE	L. Version 1.3		PE - 62234N TA - RS34-C74-000
6. AUTHOR(S)			JO. # - 55-0230-0-1
John J. Grefenstette and He	len G. Cobb		33. 11 33 0230 0 1
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Naval Research Laboratory			REPORT NUMBER
Washington, DC 20375-50	00		NRL Memorandum
ATTEN: Code 5510			Report 6820
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STAT	TEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release:	distribution unlimited.		

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

SAMUEL is a machine learning system designed to actively explore alternative behaviors in a simulated environment, and to construct high performance rules from this experience. The learning method relies on the notion of competition and employs genetic algorithms to search the space of decision policies. The rule language in SAMUEL also makes it easier to incorporate existing knowledge, whether acquired from experts or by symbolic learning programs. The system includes a competition based production system interpreter, incremental strength updating procedures to measure the utility of rules, and genetic algorithms to modify strategies based on past performance. The current version includes a more convenient language for the expression of tactical control rules, better interfaces, and a number of new heuristics for rule modification. We have experimented with SAMUEL on a task involving learning control rules that enable a simulated robotic aircraft to evade an approaching missile. SAMUEL has been able to learn high performance strategies for this task. This manual should help the user to experiment with SAMUEL on other problems.

14. SUBJECT TERMS  Machine learning  Reinforcement learning	Genetic algorithms		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 96 16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	SAR

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by AMNI Std. 239 '8 298 102


# CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 — INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE OF THE SAMUEL SYSTEM	l
IMPLEMENTATION LANGUAGE OF SAMUEL	1
OBJECTIVES OF THE MANUAL	1
USE OF SAMUEL	2
ORGANIZATION OF THE MANUAL	2
CHAPTER 2 — SYSTEM OVERVIEW	3
KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION	3
PERFORMANCE MODULE	4
PROBLEM SPECIFIC MODULE	4
LEARNING MODULE	4
EVALUATION LOOPS IN SAMUEL	5
LEVELS OF LEARNING IN SAMUEL	5
CHAPTER 3 — KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION	6
POPULATION	6
PLAN	6
The Rule Set Associated Properties Vector of Operator Probabilities	6 6 7
RULES	7
Condition-Action Production	8 10
CHAPTER 4 — THE PERFORMANCE MODULE: THE PRODUCTION SYSTEM CYCLE	13
CONDITION MATCHING	13

CONFLICT RESOLUTION: ACTION BIDDING AND RULE FIRING	14
CREDIT ASSIGNMENT: UPDATING RULE STRENGTH	14
CHAPTER 5 — THE LEARING MODULE: THE GENETIC ALGORITHM (GA) USED IN SAMUEL	16
INITIALIZATION OF THE POPULATION	17
SELECTING OPERATORS	18
EVALUATION OF EACH POPULATION MEMBER	18
SPECIALIZE, GENERALIZE, AND CLUSTER	19
The Specialize Operator The Generalize Operator The Cluster Operator	20
SELECTION OF PLANS FOR CLONING	20
RECOMBINATION OF PLANS USING CROSSOVER	20
The Crossover Operator	21
MUTATION OF PLANS	21
The Uniform Mutation Operator The Creep Operator	
THE MERGING AND DELETING OF RULES IN A PLAN	22
The Merge Operator The Delete Operator	
UPDATING OPERATOR PROBABILITIES OF THE PLAN	23
CHAPTER 6 — THE PROBLEM SPECIFIC MODULF	24
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	24
THE EM PROBLEM SPECIFIC MODULE	24
IMPLEMENTING A WORLD MODEL	25
PROCEDURES AND FUNCTIONS	26
CHAPTER 7 — DEMONSTRATION OF SAMUEL	28
INSTALLING SAMUEL	28

RUNNING THE SAMUEL DEMONSTRATION	28
CREATING WORKING DIRECTORIES	29
MAINTAINING INPUT FILES	30
OUTPUT FILES OF SAMUEL	30
SHELL SCRIPTS	31
Modifying and Inspecting the Params File  Training and Testing Using Run-Samuel  Description of the Run-Samuel Script  An Example Run Using Run-Samuel  Summarizing the Trace File	32 34 38
WORKING DIRECTORIES FOR NEW PROBLEM SPECIFIC DOMAINS	41
RUNNING SAMUEL STARTING FROM A CHECKPOINT FILE	42
CHAPTER 8 — PROGRAM SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE	43
APPENDIX A — Runtime Input Parameters	44
APPENDIX B — The Attributes File	59
APPENDIX C — The Rules File and the Init File	62
APPENDIX D — Files, Functions, and Procedures	63
REFERENCES	89





# **USER'S GUIDE FOR SAMUEL, VERSION 1.3**

# CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

This document is the User's Manual for the SAMUEL system. SAMUEL stands for Strategy Acquisition Method Using Empirical Learning.<sup>1</sup>

# PURPOSE OF THE SAMUEL SYSTEM

SAMUEL learns condition-action rules from a computer simulation of a problem domain. For example, the problem specific module accompanying this distribution models the Evasive Maneuvers (EM) problem. In this 2-dimensional computer simulation of air combat, SAMUEL learns rules for controlling the turning rate of a plane so the plane avoids being hit by an approaching missile. SAMUEL can learn the condition-action rules for a variety of problems. In general, SAMUEL can learn sequential decision rules for any problem domain where the overall evaluation of the decisions occurs at the end of the sequence.

### IMPLEMENTATION LANGUAGE OF SAMUEL

SAMUEL is written in the C programming language. The makefile accompanying the distribution has compilation flags for the Sun3, the Sun4 SPARCSTATION, and the Butterfly's Mach Uniform System. Users of other systems may need to tailor the C code to suit their own environments.

# **OBJECTIVES OF THE MANUAL**

This manual is intended primarily for researchers and application developers. By examining the application of SAMUEL to the EM problem domain, we illustrate how a user might apply SAMUEL to other problems. The manual does not explicitly address how to make modifications to SAMUEL that extend beyond the problem domain modules. However, the manual does provide an overall description of the system that would be useful background reading to users who intend to make substantial modifications to SAMUEL. For additional information on SAMUEL, the user should consult the Reference section of this manual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The name also honors Art Samuel, one of the pioneers in machine learning.

# **USE OF SAMUEL**

The SAMUEL system and its accompanying documentation are the property of the Department of the Navy. This system is to be used for official Department of Navy purposes only. The use of the SAMUEL system is governed by a formal Memorandum of Agreement between the Navy Center for Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence (NCARAI) and the user's transition site. Researchers and application developers who make use of SAMUEL in their research products or application products should credit the program author, John J. Grefenstette, and the Naval Research Laboratory.

The author of SAMUEL and the authors of its accompanying documentation do not guarantee the program code or the SAMUEL User's Guide to be error free or appropriate for any particular purpose. To report any problems, see the Program Maintenance and Support section of this manual.

# ORGANIZATION OF THE MANUAL

The rest of this manual is organized as follows. Chapter 2 provides a user with a quick overview of the system. Chapters 3 through 6 expand on Chapter 2, giving a review of the system's knowledge structures and architecture. In addition to discussing the Problem Specific Module in general, Chapter 6 also outlines the essential procedures, functions, and variables for developing a world model (of a problem domain) to interface with SAMUEL's Performance Module. Chapter 7 introduces a user in a hands-on fashion to SAMUEL. This chapter includes a demonstration of SAMUEL's display output and a simple example experiment using the EM environment. Chapter 8 gives program support information. The appendices summarize more detailed information. Appendices A through C describe information pertaining to input files used by SAMUEL: Appendix A lists SAMUEL's input parameters; Appendix B describes the syntax used for defining sensors and controls in the world model, and Appendix C illustrates the syntax of rules that can optionally be read into SAMUEL during program initialization. Appendix D gives implementation details of SAMUEL, listing by file the procedures, functions, and variables of the system.

A user should read the chapters of this manual in their order of presentation. However, reading about how to interface a user's domain model to SAMUEL is not essential to gaining familiarity with the system (Chapter 7).

This manual uses certain conventions of presentation. Key concepts presented in the manual are placed initially in italics for emphasis. Operator names used in the Learning Module are always in italics. Actual parameters, procedures, functions, variables, and file names are in bold type.

### CHAPTER 2

### SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Figure 1 shows the architecture of the SAMUEL system. SAMUEL consists of a *Performance Module*, a *Learning Module*, and a *Problem Specific Module*.




Figure 1. Architecture of the SAMUEL System

# KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

SAMUEL uses three primary knowledge structures within these modules: a population, plans, and rules. The Learning Module examines population of plans that changes over time; the population consists of a set of plans; each plan consists of a set of rules. Each generation of the genetic algorithm, the Learning Module searches for the best performing members among a population of plans. To accomplish the search, the Performance Module, in conjunction with the Problem Specific Module, evaluates the performance of each plan. Plans describe strategies for interacting with the world in terms of condition-action rules. Depending on the world's state, the Performance Module selects a rule from the current plan that specifies the control actions to be applied in the world model of the Problem Specific Module.

### PERFORMANCE MODULE

The Performance Module is a Competition-based Production System (CPS) that interacts with both the world model through the Problem Specific Module interfaces and the Genetic Algorithm (GA) of the Learning Module. The CPS module has three major functions: matching. conflict resolution, and credit assignment. The CPS's matching and conflict resolution functions essentially perform the match/resolve-conflicts/act cycle of the traditional production system cycle. The Problem Specific Module carries out the action selected by the CPS. During matching, the CPS examines each rule of a plan and determines the degree of match between the conditions of a rule and the currently sensed world values. During conflict resolution, the CPS first selects an action-value among those recommended by a set of highly matching rules based on rule strengths and then communicates this value to the Problem Specific Module. If there is more than one control action, the CPS selects, in a similar manner, a value for each of the other control actions. The production cycle repeats until the completion of an episode in the Problem Specific Module. The CPS next executes the credit assignment function, adjusting the relative strengths of rules in the current plan based on rule performance of during the episode. The CPS repeats the execution of its three major functions for a number of episodes to obtain an average payoff. Finally, the CPS communicates the average payoff of the current plan to the Learning Module.

### PROBLEM SPECIFIC MODULE

The Problem Specific Module consists of a world model simulation and the critic, sensor, and control interfaces. The sensor interface communicates the world's current conditions to the Performance Module's matching function. In turn, the Performance Module's conflict resolution function communicates the currently selected values of the actions to the control interface. Given the environmental state and the selected values of the control actions, the world model computes the next time step's environmental state. Under conditions determined by the world model, the episode ends. As a result, the critic communicates its evaluation of the plan, called payoff, to the Performance Module. Between episodes, the Problem Specific Module reinitializes the world state to one of the possible initial conditions described in the problem definition.

# LEARNING MODULE

The Learning Module, based on the standard GA of the GENESIS package (Grefenstette, 1983), incorporates additional learning heuristics over the standard GA. The GA develops high performance reactive strategies called plans through the competition of plans within a population. Every generation, the Learning Module sends each of its competing plans to the Performance Module for evaluation. The Performance Module returns the average payoff of each plan. The GA determines the fitness of a plan by scaling this average payoff to a baseline performance. Based on the fitness evaluations of the competing plans, the GA then chooses relatively high performing plans for reproduction using the select operator. The GA applies

genetic operators such as crossover and mutation to copies of these selected plans to produce plausible new plans for the next generation. The GA's generational cycle repeats until one of the user-specified stopping criteria is satisfied. Depending on options specified by a user, the Learning Module might periodically save the current best plan and other statistics.

### **EVALUATION LOOPS IN SAMUEL**

There are four nested evaluation loops in SAMUEL: experiments, generations, trials, and episodes. A user typically evaluates SAMUEL for a number of repetitions called experiments in order to obtain a statistical estimate of SAMUEL's performance in solving a problem. The evaluation of all members in the GA's population corresponds to a generation. Each generation, the GA evaluates a population in order to breed potentially better performing plans for the next generation. The evaluation of each population member corresponds to a trial. Each trial, the CPS evaluates a plan by measuring the plan's average performance over a number of episodes. (The trial counter continues without reinitialization after each generation.) An episode is the decision sequence for accomplishing a domain task. During an episode, the CPS also evaluates the rules of a plan by distributing the payoff received from the world model's critic function over the active rules used in the decision process. (Each episode lasts for a number of decision steps However, there is no evaluation associated with a single decision step.)

### LEVELS OF LEARNING IN SAMUEL

Learning in SAMUEL occurs at two distinct levels: credit assignment at the rule level, and genetic competition at the plan level.

The CPS, through credit assignment, adjusts the relative strengths of rules within a plan using the payoff of an episode. The strength of a rule serves as a prediction of the expected level of payoff that would be achieved when the rule fires. Thus, the CPS learns rules that are more likely to result in episodes yielding high payoff.

These payoff values also provide the basis for evaluating a plan's performance in the Learning Module. The GA computes each plan's fitness based on its average payoff. This fitness value provides an estimate of the plan's relative competitiveness with respect to other plans in the population. As a result, SAMUEL learns plans that yield overall high performances as well as the rules in those plans that yield high payoff.

### CHAPTER 3

### KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

As stated in the Introduction, there are three knowledge structures in SAMUEL: the population, the plans making up the population, and the rules defining each plan.

# **POPULATION**

Throughout a program run, the population consists of a fixed number of plans. All plans within the population are initially the same.

### **PLAN**

A plan consists of three parts: (1) a rule set, (2) the associated properties describing the plan and its history, and (3) a vector of operator probabilities. The GA uses these associated properties and the vector of operator probabilities in its generational cycle.

### The Rule Set

A plan has a variable number of rules. Initially, a plan may have one or many rules depending on the initialization options the user chooses. During program execution, the GA operators of the Learning Module generate new rules for plans based on the plan's experience in interacting with the Problem Specific Module. (If the *delete* operator is active, the GA may occasionally remove a rule from a plan.)

# **Associated Properties**

#### value

The CPS evaluates a plan by finding the average payoff of the plan over a number of episodes. The value property stores this average payoff.

### fitness

The GA computes the *fitness* property of a plan using the plan's value property and the baseline performance of the current generation. The fitness property stores the plan's fitness value.

# offspring

The offspring property saves the expected number of offspring the plan can expect to create. This expected number is based on the average fitness of the population's plans.

# length

The length property indicates the current number of rules in the plan.

### gen

The gen property indicates the generation the plan was created.

### trial

The trial property indicates the trial the plan was created.

# parent1 and parent2

The parent1 and parent2 properties record the identification numbers of the parent plans

# **Vector of Operator Probabilities**

The operator probability vector holds the probability of applying each of the GA operators. Table 1 lists the order of the operators in the vector.

Index	Operator Probability
0	No operator.
1	mutate
2	crossover
3	specialize
4	generalize
5	creep
6	delete
7	merge

Table 1. Vector Operator Probabilities

The *mutate* probability is the probability of mutating a plan; there is a separate probability to mutating a rule.

### **RULES**

Each rule has two parts: (1) a condition-action production, and (2) the associated properties of the rule. For example, Rule 10 might be

### Rule 10

```
if (and (range 250 1000) (speed 500 1200))
then (and (turn 0 90))
parent 0 created 0 fixed 1 matched 0 partially-matched 0
action 1 bid 0 active 0 fired 0 mean 500 var 0 strength 500 act 0.5 bias 0
```

The associated properties list records the rule's history, and for each control action, the statistics that reflect the rule's relative competitiveness. The CPS uses these associated properties in its production cycle.

### Condition-Action Production

Each condition-action production has the form

```
if (\text{and } c_1 \cdots c_n)
then (\text{and } a_1 \cdots a_m)
```

where each  $c_i$  is a condition, and each  $a_j$  is an action. For example, a condition-action production might be

```
if (and (range 250 1000) (speed 500 1200))
then (and (turn 0 90))
```

Conditions and actions are defined in terms of attributes. There are two kinds of attributes: sensors and controls. Each  $c_i$  in a rule specifies a condition on one of the sensors; each  $a_j$  specifies the settings for one of the controls. For example, the "range" and "speed" conditions in the rule above are sensor attributes. The "turn" action is a control attribute. An attribute has three components:

- 1. name,
- 2. type, and
- 3 range of values.

Conditions and actions together comprise the atomic formulas, or *atoms*, of the production rules in SAMUEL. For example,

```
(range 250 1000)
```

is an atom in the example above. The syntax of an atom depends on the attribute's type.

# Name Component

The names of the sensors and controls describe their functions in the world model. A user must define control and sensor variables as part of specifying the world model. In the example

above, the name of the first sensor attribute is "range."

Types of Attributes and Their Range of Values

SAMUEL supports four types of attributes: linear, cyclic, structured, and pattern Both sensor and control attributes can have types linear, cyclic, and structured. Currently, the pattern type only applies to sensor attributes. A user defines the attributes associated with each sensor and control variable in the attributes file. Appendix B describes the attributes file in more detail. A brief description of each kind of attribute follows.

### Linear

Linear attributes take on linearly ordered numeric values from a fixed range of values. In addition to the name, the atom of a linear attribute specifies the attribute's upper and lower bounds. The user can divide the range up to a maximum of 255 segments. The number of segments determines the resolution of the sensor or control. The endpoints of each segment constitute the legal bounds in the atoms.

For example, the "range" condition in the rule above has a *type* = linear. Suppose the low and high values for "range" are 0 and 1000, respectively, and the number of segments partitioning "range" is 20. Another possible atom for "range" might be

(range 100 250)

A match of the "range" condition occurs when  $100 \le range \le 250$ .

The "turn" action in the rule above also has an attribute of type = linear. Should the range and speed sensors match the conditions in the rule's "range" and "speed" atoms, then the turn control would take on some value between 0 and 90.

### Cyclic


Cyclic attributes take on cyclically ordered numeric values. Like linear attributes, cyclic attributes have equally divided segments whose endpoints constitute the legal bounds in the atoms. Unlike linear attributes, any pair of legal values form the bounds of a valid cyclic atom. For example, suppose a cyclic heading sensor describes a vehicle's direction. If the atom is

(heading 330 90)

then a match of this "heading" condition occurs when the heading sensor has a value in either of two ranges:  $330 \le heading \le 360$  or  $0 \le heading \le 90$ .

### Structured

Nominal attributes take on values from the nodes of a tree-structured hierarchy. The atom of structured attribute contains a list of these nodes. For example, a sensor called *weather* may take on values from the following hierarchy:



An atom for the "weather" condition might be

(weather is [cloudy wet])

This list implies all the subnodes of the nodes listed. A match occurs in this example when the weather sensor is "cloudy", "wet", "rain", or "snow." A structured attribute can have at most 64 nodes in its hierarchy. The label of a node can have a maximum string length of 16 characters.

### Pattern

Pattern attributes take on string values, or patterns, from the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \#\}$  as in classifier systems (Holland, 1986). For example, the sensor detector l might be defined as an eight bit string. A possible atom for the "detector l" condition in a rule might be

(detector1 00##10#1)

A match occurs when all positions of the *detector1* sensor match the positions occupied by 0 and 1 in the atom's pattern.

# **Associated Properties**

There are many associated properties of a rule. Parent, created, and fixed are properties pertaining to the GA that describe the rule's history. Matched and partially-matched are properties pertaining to the CPS that indicate how often the rule's conditions match sensor values.

The remaining properties, except for bias, pertain to the CPS's Profit Sharing Plan (PSP). The bid, active and fired properties are count statistics reflecting the degree of a rule's participation in the rule competition. The mean, var, and strength properties reflect the payoff associated with the rule's use. The act property indicates the level of a rule's use over time.

The bias property pertains to the CPS's Bucket Brigade Algorithm (BBA). The BBA is not included in this version of SAMUEL. A user should ignore the bias property.

### parent

The parent property stores the identification number of the predecessor rule. For example, if SAMUEL creates Rule 12 by mutating Rule 10, then parent = 10.

### created

The created property is the concatenation of a rule's generation time with a code indicating how SAMUEL created the rule. For example, if created = 321, then SAMUEL created the rule during generation 32 using the mutation operator (code 1). Codes for the operators are as follows: (1) mutation, (2) crossover, (3) specialize, (4) generalize, (5) creep, (6) delete, and (7) merge. See Chapter 5 for a discussion of the GA's learning operators.

# fixed

The fixed property is a flag indicating that SAMUEL will not subject the rule to the GA operators that form new replacement rules by modifying existing rules (i.e., the mutation and creep operators). The fixed property provides a mechanism for inserting default rules into SAMUEL that remain unchanged by the GA. A user may initialize a rule to be fixed during program input. See the Appendix A for more details on the params file.

#### matched

The *matched* property is the number of times since the rule's creation that the rule's conditions have completely matched sensor readings. Completely matching rules are always included in the *match set*. See Chapter 4 for a discussion of matching and match sets.

# partially-matched

Rules that partially-match have conditions that match some, but not all, of the current sensor readings. If there are no completely matching rules, then the *match set* consists of the best partially-matching rules. The *partially-matched* property indicates the number of times the rule has been a member of the match set as a partially-matched rule.

#### bid

The match set may have rules indicating several competing values for a control action. Each of these values bids to be selected. The bid property indicates the number of times that the value of a control action bids to be selected since its creation. See Chapter 4 for a discussion of action bidding.

### active

If the value of a control action wins a bid, then all rules in the *match set* having that value for the control action are *active*. The *active* property indicates the number of times the rule has been active since its creation. See Chapter 4 discussion of rule firing.

#### fired

The *fired* property indicates the number of times the rule has won the bidding process since its creation.

#### mean

The *mean* property saves the time-averaged mean of the rule strengths. See Chapter 4 for a discussion on updating rule strengths.

#### var

The var property saves the time-averaged variance of the rule strengths.

### strength

The strength property serves as a prediction of the rule's utility (Grefenstette, 1988). Thus, rule strengths are used in bidding process for rule firing. Each control action may be represented by several active rules in the match set. The control action bids the strength of the highest strength rule having that control action in the match set. See Chapter 4 for a discussion of updating rule strengths.

### act

The act property indicates a rule's recent firing activity level using a metric ranging from 0 to 1. Rules initially have an activity level of 0.5. Each generation, SAMUEL decays the activity level of all rules by 0.95. When a rule fires, SAMUEL increases the rule's activity level by setting the activity to 0.1 plus 90 percent of the rule's current activity level. As a result, activity near 1 indicates recent firing of the rule, whereas activity near 0 indicates recent inactivity of the rule. Rules with low activity are subject to deletion if the delete operator is functioning.

### bias

The bias property indicates the relative competitiveness of a rule in the Bucket Brigade Algorithm. The bias property may be used in future versions of SAMUEL.

# CHAPTER 4

# THE PERFORMANCE MODULE: THE PRODUCTION SYSTEM CYCLE

### **CONDITION MATCHING**

Several rules may have conditions that *match* or *partially match* the sensor readings. Partial matching occurs when only some conditions match sensor values. The number of matching conditions in a rule is the *match score*. The largest possible match score is equal to the number of sensors. The CPS places all rules having the highest match score into a *match set*. For example, suppose *range* = 500 and *speed* = 450. Consider the following three hypothetical rules:

### Rule 62

if (and (range 700 1500) (speed 150 700))
then (and (turn -45 45))
parent 50 created 0 fixed 1 matched 440 partially-matched 440
action 1 bid 497 active 457 fired 289 mean 982 var 13300
strength 866 act 0.5 bias 901

# Rule 77

if (and (range 200 1000) (speed 50 550))
then (and (turn 45 90))
parent 10 created 0 fixed 1 matched 3468 partially-matched 253
action 1 bid 3197 active 1766 fired 1506 mean 994 var 2622
strength 943 act 0.5 bias 952

### **Rule 100**

if (and (range 450 1200) (speed 400 1000))
then (and (turn 90 135))
parent 83 created 0 fixed 1 matched 284 partially-matched 338
action 1 bid 132 active 375 fired 83 mean 971 var 21604
strength 824 act 0.5 bias 904

In Rule 62, the "range" condition does not match on the range sensor. In this example, Rules 77

and 100 form the match set since both the "range" and "speed" conditions match the range and speed sensors. Suppose, instead, that these three rules had conditions that matched on only one sensor, i.e., partially matched. Then all of the rules would be placed in the match set. In general, if some rule matchs m out of n sensors, and no rule matches m+1 sensors, then the match set consists of all rules with match score m.

Associated with each rule in the match set are the values of each control (i.e., action-values). In the example above, there is only one control action: turn. If the turn control is of type linear with a range from -180 to 180 quantized into segments of 45 degrees, then the action-values for the turn control for Rule 77 are 45 and 90. Similarly, the action-values for Rule 100 are 90 and 135.

### CONFLICT RESOLUTION: ACTION BIDDING AND RULE FIRING

The conflict resolution of choosing a rule to fire is based on rule strengths. The action-values for each control bid to be selected. An action-value's tail is the strength of the strongest rule in the match set having that action-value. For example, assume Rules 77 and 100 above form the match set. In this case, action-value 90 would bid 943, the strength the stronger rule, Rule 77. However, since action-value 135 only occurs in one rule of the match set (Rule 100), the bid of action-value 135 is only 824.

Using Monte Carlo techniques, the CPS selects a winning action-value from a probability distribution formed using these bids. This procedure is unlike classifier systems in which all members of the match set vote on the action to be performed (Riolo, 1988). Using a distribution based on strengths of only the strongest rules prevents a large number number of low strength rules from combining to suggest an action-value that is actually associated with low payoff. All rules in the match set that have an action-value agreeing with the winner of the bid are said to be active (Wilson, 1987). The active rule winning the bid is said to fire.

If there is more than one action (i.e., control), SAMUEL assumes each action to be independent of the others. In other words, SAMUEL repeats the bidding process for each action. For example, suppose there are two actions. The three hypothetical rules above would have an additional line for "action 2." It is possible for one rule in the match set to fire the for first action, and another rule in the match set to fire for the second action. Each of these actions would have separate strengths associated with them.

# CREDIT ASSIGNMENT: UPDATING RULE STRENGTH

The production cycle of match/resolve-conflicts/act repeats until the world model indicates the end of an episode. At that time, the *critic* of the Problem Specific Module returns a payoff value for the episode. The CPS's Profit Sharing Plan (PSP) performs the *credit assignment* function. Using the PSP, the CPS incrementally adjusts the strength of all active rules to reflect the current payoff, since any active rule could have potentially fired. The general approach for adjusting rule strength is to first reduce the rule's current strength by some fraction, and then increase the rule's strength by the same fraction of the payoff. Suppose  $R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_3$  is one of

the active rule sequences considered during an episode having three steps. Also, suppose the fraction, c, is 0.10, and the payoff, r, is 100. Figure 2 illustrates the original rule strengths at time t and the updated rule strengths at the end of the episode (at t + 3).




Figure 2. Updating the Strength of Rules

Notice that the rule correctly predicting the payoff,  $R_1$ , retains its original strength; the rule that overestimates the payoff,  $R_2$ , loses its strength, and the rule that underestimates the payoff,  $R_3$  gains strength. Over the course of many episodes, the strengths of active rules converge to the expected level of payoff (Grefenstette, 1986). This observation motivates the use of rule strength during conflict resolution.

Instead of considering only the current adjustment of the rule strengths, the CPS uses a time-weighted averages of these adjustments. Specifically, the CPS uses a time-weighted average to estimate both the mean,  $\mu_i$  and the variance,  $\sigma_i^2$ , of the payoff associated with each rule,  $R_i$ . Given an end-of-episode payoff, r, a fraction, c (c is the runtime parameter called the **psprate**), then  $\mu_i$  is

$$\mu_i = (1 - c)\mu_i + cr.$$

Likewise,  $\sigma_i^2$  is

$$\sigma_i^2 = (1-c)\sigma_i^2 + c(\mu_i - r)^2$$

Given  $\mu_i$  and  $\sigma_i^2$ , the estimated strength of  $R_i$  is

strength 
$$(R_i) = \mu_i - \sigma_i$$
.

The strength function ensures that a high strength rule must have both a high mean and a low variance. The CPS records the  $\mu_i$ ,  $\sigma_i$ , and the result of the strength function in the rule's associated properties mean, var, and strength, respectively.

# CHAPTER 5

# THE LEARNING MODULE: THE GENETIC ALGORITHM (GA) USED IN SAMUEL

The standard generational GA first *initializes* and then *evaluates* all population members. After these two steps, the GA enters a generational cycle: population members are *selected* for reproduction, copies of relatively fit individuals are *recombined* and *mutated*, and the new population members are *evaluated*, thus setting up the cycle for the next generation. Figure 3 gives pseudo-code for the standard GA.

```
begin

t = 0;

initialize P(t)

evaluate structures in P(t)

while termination condition not satisfied do begin

t = t + 1;

select P(t) from P(t - 1)

crossover structures in P(t)

mutate structures in P(t)

evaluate structures in P(t)

end

end
```

Figure 3. The Standard Genetic Algorithm (GA)

A user can find a detailed discussion of GAs in (De Jong, 1975; Holland, 1975; Goldberg, 1989). Several differences exist between the standard GA as implemented in GENESIS and the GA used in SAMUEL.

SAMUEL has several learning operators in addition to *mutation* and *crossover*. These operators are discussed in the sections below. Figure 4 gives the pseudo-code for the GA of SAMUEL.

```
begin
      t = 0:
      initialize P(t)
      select operators for P(t)
      evaluate structures in P(t)
      specialize (rules in) P(t) structures
      generalize (rules in) P(t) structures
      cluster (rules in) P(t) structures
      while termination condition not satisfied do
      begin
           t = t + 1;
           select P(t) from P(t-1)
           select operators for P(t)
           crossover (on rule boundaries) structures in P(t)
            mutate (rules in) P(t) structures
           creep (rules in) P(t) structures
           merge (rules in) P(t) structures
           delete (rules from) P(t) structures
            update operator probabilities of P(t) structures
            evaluate structures in P(t)
            specialize (rules in) P(t) structures
            generalize (rules in) P(t) structures
            cluster (rules in) P(t) structures
      end
end
```

Figure 4. The Genetic Algorithm (GA) of SAMUEL

### INITIALIZATION OF THE POPULATION

The standard GA typically starts with a randomly generated initial population to ensure an initially unbiased sampling of the search space. Instead, Samuel generates new rules for a plan based on experience (Schultz, 1990). There are three options for the specifying the plans of the initial population:

1. The plans may consist of one general rule, called a maximally general rule, that matches all sensor conditions (option 0),

- 2. All plans may have initially the same user-specified rules read in to SAMUEL from the init file (option 1), or
- 3. The initial plans may consist of a combination of user-specified rules from the init file and a maximally general rule (option 2).

The maximally general rule is internally defined in SAMUEL; that is, a user does not have to define a maximally general rule in the init file. In version 1.3 of SAMUEL, a user can specify only one set of rules at a time. In other words, SAMUEL only reads in one init file. (The version of SAMUEL that excludes the GA reads user-specified rules from the rules file instead of the init file; this version cannot be run without a rules file.)

A maximally general rule has the form if (and) then (and).

Notice that this rule's condition part matches all sensor values and that the rule's action part specifies all action-values of all actions. A plan consisting of only this rule executes a random walk through the search space, since every action-value has equal strength. However, because the initial conditions for episodes are different due to random selection, the performance of plans having maximally general rules differ. After a successful episode, the *specialize* operator, discussed below, creates a new rule from a maximally general rule using sensor information. In this way, SAMUEL generates rules based on experience gained from successful episodes.

### SELECTING OPERATORS

As mentioned in Chapter 3, each plan has an associated vector of operator probabilities. An operator probability is the independent probability of applying an operator in creating an offspring plan. (The probability vector does not store an operator probability distribution.) During operator selection, the GA considers each operator, one by one, for all plans of the population. If an operator probability is non-zero, the GA performs a Bernoulli trial to select whether or not to apply that operator. The GA records in a vector for each operator the indices of the plans using that operator. More than one operator may be used in forming an offspring plan. (Associating an operator probability vector with each plan supports the adaptive operator mechanism. See the section below on updating operator probabilities.)

## **EVALUATION OF EACH POPULATION MEMBER**

As explained in the Introduction, the GA receives an evaluation of the plan from the CPS module in terms of the average payoff of a plan over a number of episodes. The GA uses this average payoff to determine the relative fitness of an individual plan over others in the population. Unlike the standard GA, the GA in SAMUEL scales the average payoffs so that selective pressure is maintained as the overall performance of the population rises each generation (Grefenstette, 1986). In SAMUEL, the fitness of each plan is defined as the difference between the average payoff received by the plan and a baseline performance measure. The

baseline is the mean payoff received by the population minus one standard deviation from the mean. The baseline is adjusted slowly to provide a moderately consistent measure of fitness. Plans whose payoff fall below the baseline are assigned a fitness measure of zero, resulting in no offspring. This mechanism appears to provide a reasonable way to maintain consistent selective pressure toward higher performance.

# SPECIALIZE, GENERALIZE, AND CLUSTER

Immediately after evaluating population members, SAMUEL applies the optional specialize, generalize, and cluster operators. The specialize and generalize operators are additional learning heuristics that SAMUEL uses to generate rules from experience. The cluster operator is logically associated with the crossover operator performed after select. Since, the rule firing information needed to apply the cluster operator is not available after the select operation, SAMUEL applies cluster after generalize.

One of the ways the GA creates new rules from existing ones is by applying the *specialize* and *generalize* operators. The *specialize* operator creates new rules by specializing rules having overly general conditions. The *generalize* operator creates new rules by generalizing rules having conditions that are too specific. These two operations differ from the *mutation* operator in that they add new rules to a plan rather than just modifying existing rules.

# The Specialize Operator

In order to introduce plausible new rules, the GA applies to evaluated plans a rule modification operator called *specialize*. SAMUEL only applies *specialize* to a maximally general rule. The *specialize* operator is similar in spirit to Holland's *triggered operators* (Holland. 1986). The "trigger" in this case is the conjunction of the following conditions:

- 1. There is room in the plan for at least one more rule, and
- 2. A maximally general rule fired during a successful episode.

If these two conditions hold, specialize creates a new rule based on the sensor reading observed and the action taken on a given step of the episode. The condition for a sensor in the new rule covers approximately half the legal range for that sensor, splitting the difference (not necessarily equally) around the sensor reading. For example, suppose the initial plan contains the maximally general rule:

Rule 0: if (and) then (and)

Suppose further that the following step is recorded in the evaluation trace during the evaluation of this plan:

```
sensors: ... (range 500) (speed 1000) ... action: (turn 0) rule fired: Rule 6
```

Assuming that (1) the range sensor can assume values between 0 and 1500, (2) the speed sensor can assume values between 0 and 1400, and (3) the turn control can assume values between -180 and 180, then specialize would create the following new rule:

```
Rule 1: if (and ... (range 250 1000) (speed 500 1200) then (and (turn -90 90))
```

The GA gives this new rule a high initial strength when it is added to the plan. The maximally general rule remains in the plan at a lower rule strength. Rule 1 is plausible, since its action is known to be successful in at least one situation where the sensor values match the conditions on the left hand side.

# The Generalize Operator

If a high performing rule only has partially matching conditions, the *generalize* operator creates a new rule so that boundaries on conditions anatch all the experienced sensor values SAMUEL applies *generalize* to all rules except a maximally general rule.

# The Cluster Operator

The cluster operator tries to arrange rules by their firing sequence using the best episodes from the last evaluation of the plan. Clustering of rules affects the operation of the crossover operator (as explained below).

# SELECTION OF PLANS FOR CLONING

The first step in creating plans for the next generation is to select plans for copying or "cloning." Using fitness values, the GA selects for cloning those plans having relatively high fitnesses. High performing plans contribute more clones than low performing plans.

### RECOMBINATION OF PLANS USING CROSSOVER

The select operator alone merely produces clones of high performance plans. In SAMUEL, a GA recombination operator called *crossover* works in concert with *select* to create plausible new plans. The GA moves through the population, applying *crossover* to randomly chosen pairs of clones. The *crossover* operator exchanges the rules of two plans to form two new offspring plans.

# The Crossover Operator

The crossover operator in SAMUEL differs from the crossover operator usually applied in the standard GA. Unlike the 2-point crossover operator used in the standard GA, SAMUEL either applies a simple uniform crossover operator on rule boundaries if the plan's rules have not been clustered; otherwise, SAMUEL applies a restricted form of uniform crossover. Simple uniform crossover assigns each rule to one of the children plans with equal probability. When applying the restricted form of uniform crossover, SAMUEL assigns a sequence of rules that fired in succession during a successful episode to one of the two children with equal probability. In either case, a particular rule can only be assigned to one of the offspring. For example, to illustrate restricted crossover, suppose that the most recent traces of the parent plans are as follows:

Episodes 8 and 9 for Parent #1:

8. 
$$R_{1,3} \rightarrow R_{1,1} \rightarrow R_{1,7} \rightarrow R_{1,5}$$
 Successful Maneuver

9. 
$$R_{1,2} \rightarrow R_{1,8} \rightarrow R_{1,4}$$
 Failure

Episodes 4 and 5 for Parent #2:

4. 
$$R_{2,7} \rightarrow R_{2,5}$$
 Failure

5. 
$$R_{2,6} \rightarrow R_{2,2} \rightarrow R_{2,4}$$
 Successful Maneuver

One possible offspring might be

Offspring:

$$( ... R_{13} R_{11} R_{17} R_{15} ... R_{26} R_{22} R_{24} ... ),$$

where the subscripts on the rules indicate the plan index and the plan's rule index, respectively

Clustering rules ensures that a rule sequence achieving a successful maneuver is treated as a group during recombination. In this way, the offspring plans will likely inherit some of the beneficial behavior patterns of their parents. Of course, the success of any new combination of rules depends on the context provided by all the other rules in the plan.

### **MUTATION OF PLANS**

After forming new plans using crossover, the mutation operators replace selected rules within the plans with modified versions of the existing rules. However, if a rule is marked as fixed, the rule is not subject to the mutation operators. (The user can specify a rule as fixed during program initialization. See Appendix C tor details). There are two mutation operators in

SAMUEL: uniform mutation and creep.

# The Uniform Mutation Operator

The *mutation* operator makes uniformly random changes to rules (and thus also plans). For example, *mutation* might alter a condition within a rule from

```
(range 500 1000) to (range 500 800).
```

Alternatively, mutation might change the action from

```
(turn 45 90)
to
(range -90 90).
```

# The Creep Operator

The *creep* mutation operator makes a change that is restricted to the smallest possible change in a given atom. For example, if "speed" is an attribute with a granularity of 50, then *creep* might change an atom from

```
(speed 200 500)
to
(speed 250 500), or (speed 150 500), or (speed 200 450), or (speed 200 550).
```

### THE MERGING AND DELETING OF RULES IN A PLAN

After the GA forms the new generation's plans, the GA may apply the *merge* and *delete* operators. These heuristics can be thought of as more generalized versions of mutation due to insertion and deletion. However, unlike the *mutation* and *creep*, the *merge* operator does not alter an existing rule. The *merge* operator introduces a new rule to a plan by combining information from existing rules. The *delete* removes a rule from the plan.

# The Merge Operator

If two rules have the same actions (i.e., the same right hand side) then the *merge* operator creates a new rule by taking the union of the two rules' conditions (i.e., the unions of the rules' left hand sides). The *merge* operator does not include the newly formed rule in the plan if it intersects with a rule already in the plan having a different right hand side. As a result, the *merge* operator conservatively introduces rules into a plan that do not compete with the plan's existing rules.

# The Delete Operator

The delete operator removes rules from a plan that are either subsumed by a better performing rule or that have very low activity.

### UPDATING OPERATOR PROBABILITIES OF THE PLAN

Built into SAMUEL is a mechanism for adaptively updating operator probabilities, depending on the value of the Op\_update\_rate variable. If Op\_update\_rate is zero, then operator probabilities remain unaltered throughout a program run. This setting effectively produces the same result of operator application in the standard GA where the same operator probabilities apply to all plans. Setting Op\_update\_rate to a value between 0 and 1 activates the adaptive operator adjustment mechanism.

In the standard GA, the "genetic code" of a population member encodes information directly evaluated by the environment. By appending an associated vector of operator probabilities to population plans, the GA of SAMUEL also includes in the plan's genetic code information not directly evaluated. In SAMUEL, operator probabilities change as a by-product of the selection mechanism. If a new plan is generated using an operator, then the adjustment mechanism increases that operator's probability. Each generation, the GA adjusts a plan's operator probabilities as follows:

1. The GA systematically decays all of the operator probabilities associated with each plan by

2. If a new plan is generated using an operator, the new plan inherits the operator probabilities of its parent. The GA then increases (the previously decreased) operator probabilities of the new plan as follows:

$$prob = prob + Op \ update \ rate/(1 - Op \ update \ rate)$$

This approach takes advantage of the selection pressure already occurring within the genetic algorithm. SAMUEL implicitly evaluates operator probability levels along with other genetic information. As a result, the operator parameter adjustment used within the SAMUEL system does not require an explicit evaluation of operator fitness. Operator probabilities increase due to the fact that the offspring being generated represent the success of applying those operators.

Future studies of SAMUEL will address alternative ways of adaptively adjusting operator probabilities.

### CHAPTER 6

### THE PROBLEM SPECIFIC MODULE

This chapter describes the Problem Specific Module in general terms and in terms of the EM model specifically. The chapter also discusses how to interface a Problem Specific Module to the Performance Module.

### **GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

As stated in the Introduction, the Problem Specific Module consists of the *sensor* and *control* interfaces, a *world model*, and a *critic*. Before performing matching on rule conditions, the CPS must obtain information from the *sensor* interface of the Problem Specific Module. The sensor interface transforms the state information of the world model to a form that can be used by the CPS.

After the CPS performs matching and conflict resolution to select a winning (fired) rule, the control interface of the Problem Specific Module converts the action-value expressed in that rule to a value that can be used by the world model. The control interface then communicates this transformed action-value to the world model. The world model updates the state of the environment.

The world model communicates new state information to the *critic* function so that the critic is able to evaluate the performance of the world model. The critic evaluates the performance at the completion of a task, or episode, in the world model. At that time, the critic communicates this evaluation to the Performance Module.

Upon receiving the critic's evaluation, the CPS performs credit assignment, updating the strengths of the rules actively involved in bidding to fire. After credit assignment, the Problem Specific Module records information from the CPS: in particular, the Problem Specific Module saves the CPS decision loop counter value. Before the CPS begins its next decision cycle, the world model communicates whether or not an episode has ended.

### THE EM PROBLEM SPECIFIC MODULE

The Evasive Maneuver (EM) world model is an air combat simulation having two objects of interest: a plane and a missile. The objective of the problem is to control the turning rate of the plane to avoid being hit by the approaching missile. The missile can track the motion of the plane and steer itself toward the plane's anticipated position. The missile initially travels at a greater speed than the plane. However, the missile is less maneuverable since the missile has a greater turning radius than the plane and the missile gradually loses energy as it maneuvers. The

simulation occurs over episodes that begin with the missile approaching the plane and that end when (1) the plane is hit, (2) the missile is exhausted, or (3) the time limit of the episode is exceeded.

The EM world model provides six sensors that give information about the current state:

- 1. *last-turn*: the current turning rate of the plane. This sensor can assume nine values, ranging from -180 degrees to 180 degrees in 45 degree increments.
- 2. time: a clock that indicates time since detection of the missile. Assumes integer values between 0 and 19.
- 3. range: the missile's current distance from the plane. Assumes values from 0 to 1500 in increments of 100.
- 4. bearing: the direction from the plane to the missile. Assumes integer values from 1 to 12. The bearing is expressed in "clock terminology", in which 12 o'clock denotes dead ahead of the plane, and 6 o'clock denotes directly behind the plane.
- 5. heading: the missile's direction relative to the plane. Assumes values from 0 to 350 in increments of 10 degrees. A heading of 0 indicates that the missile is aimed directly at the plane's current position, whereas a heading of 180 means the missile is aimed directly away from the plane.
- 6. speed: the missile's current speed measured relative to the ground. Assumes values from 0 to 1000 in increments of 50.

The current EM model has a single *control*: the turning rate of the plane. There are nine possible action-values: the turning rate can be set between -180 and 180 degrees, in 45 degree increments.

The critic provides payoff information at the end of each episode, defined by the formula:

payoff = 1000 if plane escapes missile. = 10t+substeps if plane is hit at time t.

Ten substeps make up a decision step in EM.

### IMPLEMENTING A WORLD MODEL

The sensor and control interfaces, the world simulation model, and the critic correspond to different parts of the SAMUEL system.

In setting up the sensor and control interfaces, a user needs to define the components of the attributes: the name, type, and range. These components need to be placed in the attributes file so SAMUEL knows how to store and interpret sensor and control information. (Chapter 2 discusses the knowledge representation of rules; Appendix B illustrates the syntax used in the attributes file.) The read\_sensors() and set\_action(int action, int op) procedures implement the sensor and control interfaces, respectively.

A user implements the heart of the world model with the take action() procedure.

A user implements the critic function through the combined effect of the take\_action() procedure and double get\_reward() function. The take\_action() procedure maintains the state variables that are used by double get\_reward() to compute a reward.

The init\_env(unsigned int seed) and reset\_env() procedures perform between-plan and between-episode initialization of the environment, respectively. The int end\_of\_episode() function and update\_environment() procedure ensure the coordination of the CPS's decision loop with the world model.

### PROCEDURES AND FUNCTIONS

A user's implemented world model must contain the following procedures and functions: (1) init\_env(unsigned int seed), (2) reset\_env(), int end\_of\_episode(), (3) read\_sensors(), (4) set\_action(int action, int op), (5) take\_action(), (6) double get\_reward(), and (7) update\_environment(). Procedure cps() calls all of these procedures and functions except for init\_env(); procedure eval() (in eval.c) calls init\_env(). (Procedure main() in run-plan.c (a program driver that excludes the GA) also calls init\_env()). A user's read\_sensors() procedure must call the CPS procedure record\_sensor(int i, int ptr\_type, void \*ptr). Notice that all of CPS's variables begin with capital letters.

# init\_env(unsigned int seed)

The init\_env(unsigned int seed) procedure initializes world model variables between evaluations of plans.

In the EM model, init\_env(unsigned int seed) clears the variables episodes, successes, totalreward, winrate, etc.

# reset\_env()

The reset\_env() procedure initializes world model variables between episodes. The procedure also sets up the initial conditions in the world model.

In the EM model, reset\_env() clears endflag, hit, and substep; the procedure also selects a random initial state for the plane and missile based on the reset parameters.

### int end of episode()

The int end\_of\_episode() function performs end-of-episode clean up operations. The function returns 1 at the end of an episode, and 0 otherwise.

# read sensors()

The read\_sensors() procedure transforms the internal state variables of the world model to sensor values used by cps(). The procedure may go through several steps to accomplish this

transformation. Procedure read\_sensors() calls the CPS procedure record\_sensor(int i, int ptr\_type, void \*ptr) to store sensor values into Sensor[i], an array common to EM and the CPS's (where i is the sensor index; ptr\_type is the type of sensor, and ptr points to the sensor's value).

In the EM model, read\_sensors() computes the distance, bearing, and heading of the missile relative to the plane. The procedure then converts these values into sensor readings with the possible addition of noise.

# set\_action(int action, int op)

Given the control action's index number (in action) and value (in op), set\_action(int action, int op) converts the action-value specified in the fired the CPS rule to a form used in the world model.

In the EM model, the CPS expresses turn values using an index having the range [0, 9]; set\_action(int action, int op) converts this value to an index having the range [-4, 4]. Subsequently, this turn index is translated into a real valued angle change of the plane in take action().

# take\_action()

The take action() procedure simulates the effect of the control action in the world model.

In the EM model, the take\_action() procedure also maintains state flags indicating how, and whether or not, an episode has ended. During the piloting of the plane, each decision step in actually requires several smaller time steps to accomplish. The world model's variable substep maintains the count of these time steps. The EM state variable hit indicates how an episode has ended; endflag indicates whether or not an episode has ended. The endflag is set when one of three conditions is met: (1) hit is set, (2) the missile's speed is below a minimum threshold, or (3) the number of decision steps (EM variable step) exceeds the maximum number permitted (Maxtime).

# double get\_reward()

Even though cps() calls double get\_reward() every decision step, the function only returns a reward value at the end of an episode (i.e., when the endflag is set). Function double get reward() calculates reward based on the state variables defined in take action().

In the EM model, the reward depends on the state variables hit, step, and substep. If the plane is hit, reward is (10)step + substep; otherwise, reward is 1000.

# update\_environment()

The cps() procedure calls update\_environment() to update the world model's environment. At a minimum, update\_environment increments the world model's decision step counter, step.

### CHAPTER 7

### **DEMONSTRATION OF SAMUEL**

SAMUEL is distributed as a tar file. From this tar file, a user creates a Jsrc directory and a README file. The Jsrc directory houses the source code of an archive library containing most of the functionality of SAMUEL. By using a special make target in the Jsrc directory, a user can create additional working directories, separate from Jsrc, for running experiments and for testing new world models. The user can also create a Jdemo directory.

### **INSTALLING SAMUEL**

Perform the following steps to install SAMUEL:

1. Move the samuel.tar file to a directory where SAMUEL is to reside, and use the UNIX tar command on the samuel.tar file to create the README file and ./src directory. On the command line, enter

% tar -xf samuel.tar

- 2. Move to the Jsrc directory, and edit the makefile to correctly set the CFLAGS and EXTRA\_LIBS macros, depending on the type of system (Sun3, Sun4, or the Butterfly's Mach Uniform System). The default CFLAGS (-O4) invokes the optimizing compiler. The EXTRA\_LIBS only needs to be defined when compiling for the Butterfly. The targets creating SAMUEL's executables already include the math library (-lm).
- 3. To create a demonstration directory called ./demo in the directory where SAMUEL resides as well as to create the random archive library libsam.a in /src enter at the command line:

% make all

### RUNNING THE SAMUEL DEMONSTRATION

Change to the ./demo directory:

% cd ./demo

The demo script in the Jdemo directory runs a shell script demonstrating the display output of SAMUEL for different plans. The script first copies one of the rule files (i.e., rules.name) to the rule file used by SAMUEL during input, and then executes a version of SAMUEL that does not use the GA. Notice that the params.demo file does not have any GA parameters.

The ./demo directory contains two files containing possible plans: rule.best and rules.rand. The rules.best file demonstrates graphically a high performing plan learned by SAMUEL in the EM environment. For basis of comparison, the rules.rand shows SAMUEL's performance in the same environment given a plan having rules with random action responses.

To run the SAMUEL demonstration, select one of the two rule files and enter the suffix of the rules file (i.e., best or rand) at the command prompt. For example, to create a display using the rules.best enter:

### % demo best

To exit the demonstration, enter control C at the keyboard.

# CREATING WORKING DIRECTORIES

The makefile in the Jsrc directory provides a user with a three step procedure for creating versions of SAMUEL without altering the original source code. A working directory can contain any user-generated code: modified copies of the SAMUEL source code, new source code, and executables. Ideally, a user should create a separate working directory for each major set of experiments.

1. By selecting a working directory name (directory\_name), and entering at the command prompt.

%make exp "EXPDIR=directory\_name"

a user can create a working directory. Notice that working directories must be created from the Jsrc directory. After making a working directory, the system should respond with:

Created directory ../directory\_name
Now cd to that directory and type...
"make all"

2. Change to the working directory:

% cd ../directory name

The working directory should contain the input files attributes, rules (for the run-plan executable), and params. The directory should also contain params.def, an on-line documentation file describing the parameters. (The directory does not contain a init file for running with samuel.) The "make exp" target should have also copied over from /src various shell scripts: (1) the run-samuel script and its children scripts mkgraph, avegraph, getindex, and ttest, and (2) ch and p, utility scripts for modifying and examining the params file, (3) wins, the utility script for summarizing the trace file, and (4) the show script for viewing one or two graphs using the eview previewer.

3. To make all of the SAMUEL executables in the working directory enter at the command line:

### % make all

This creates the executables: (1) samuel, SAMUEL using the EM domain, (2) run-plan, a separate driver that allows a user to investigate the the EM domain using the CPS without having new plans generated by the GA, and (3) a couple of programs, retest and extract, used in the run-samuel script. See the section below on using the run-samuel shell script.

If the working directory does not contain source code having the came names as the original source code in the Jsrc directory, then issuing the make command creates the SAMUEL distribution executables. Otherwise, the compilation overrides the original distribution source code with user-defined versions. For example, suppose a user creates a working directory called JEM\_test1 and places a new version of cross.c in this directory to test the effectiveness of a new crossover operator in the EM environment. During compilation of samuel, the user's version of cross.c in JEM\_test1 supersedes the cross.c file used in making the libsam.a archive library.

### MAINTAINING INPUT FILES

In general, to run SAMUEL, a user needs to consider three input files: attributes, params, and init. If a user runs SAMUEL without the GA, then the rule specification file is rules instead of init. SAMUEL expects to read files having these names. However, the default names init and rules can be changed by initializing the parameters initfile and rulefile, respectively. (See Appendix A1.)

The attributes file describes sensor and control attributes for the EM world model. Since an attributes file is domain-specific, a user typically has one attributes file for a given world model. Appendix B describes the attributes file in more detail.

If a user wants to examine SAMUEL's performance in solving a problem using different parameter scenarios, a user should maintain separate params.name files. Before a program run, a user should copy a particular file of interest to the params file.

Similarly, if a user plans to compare SAMUEL's performance for different initial plans, a user may have several rule initialization files. A user should put each plan to be tested in a separate file. Before running SAMUEL, a user would then copy a particular init.name file to init.

# **OUTPUT FILES OF SAMUEL**

Depending upon user options, SAMUEL creates several output files. These files include: best, detail, dump.env, last, log, out, rules.out, save, trace, and wins. A user can change default names of the output files by setting the parameters in the params file. A user can also control which output files SAMUEL generates by setting flag parameters in the params file. Table 2 lists these flag parameters and the corresponding default output file names.

Flag Parameter	Default File Name	Module
etrace	trace	CPS
ruletrace	rules.out	CPS
best_interval	best	GA
last	last.n	GA
log	log	GA
out	out	GA
detail	detail	EM
dump	"dump.env"	EM

Table 2. Output Parameters

The GA parameter best\_interval specifies the generational interval for writing out best; if best\_interval is zero, SAMUEL does not create the file best.

### SHELL SCRIPTS

The distribution includes some shell commands that make working with SAMUEL easier. These include: ch, p, run-samuel, show, and wins.

# Modifying and Inspecting the params File

A user can alter parameters in the params file by using the ch command. For example to turn off the display, give the command:

### % ch draw 0

The ch command first checks the params, def file to validate the parameter name before changing the params file. If a user does not specify a value for the parameter, then ch removes the parameter from the params file. For example,

### %ch draw

removes parameter draw from the params file.

When a parameter is not included in the params file, SAMUEL assumes the default value for the variable associated the parameter. In this example, not having an entry in the params file for draw gives the same result as setting draw to zero, since the default value of Drawflag, the variable set by the draw parameter, is zero.

A user can display the current parameters in the params file by using the p command:

% p

# Training and Testing Using run-samuel

In general, a plan should be tested a large number of episodes to obtain a good estimate of the plan's performance. Unfortunately, the computation time for evaluating plans is very long relative to the search time for discovering new plans. One technique for reducing the evaluation time is to decompose SAMUEL into a "training" component and a "testing" component. This decomposition technique is viable due to the fact that a GA performs well even when environmental feedback is approximate. During training, the CPS would provide the GA in SAMUEL with a rough average of a plan's performance using only a few episodes. Based on this performance estimate, the training system would filter out high performing plans for further evaluation. The "testing" system (identical to the CPS of SAMUEL) would then perform more extensive testing of these best performing plans asynchronously while the GA of SAMUEL continues to search for even better performing plans. The testing system in this scenario could represent an offline target system for the plans.

This decomposition technique is the motivation behind the **run-samuel** script. Instead of testing of the current best plans asynchronously, however, SAMUEL periodically collects over the generations some top percentage of the population's best performing plans into a "best" file. Each stored generation is called an *epoch*. SAMUEL generates a best file for each repetition of the experiment. After completing the training, a separate CPS module tests the plans in the best files using the same parameters as the training run, except that the the duration of the episodes is longer (e.g., 100) and the rule strengths of the plans are not adjusted.

The run-samuel script next retests extensively over even a larger number of episodes (e.g., 1000) the best plans from each epoch generated during the extended evaluation. If a user also specifies the suffixes of additional parameter files in the command line argument of run-samuel, then the script also retests the best plans of the epochs using those parameter files. Ultimately the run-samuel script generates files containing data for learning curve plots. A user can then plot a comparison graph showing the training run's average experimental learning curve and a particular testing run's average experimental learning curve.

Not only does the script provide a user with a convenient way to run SAMUEL, the script also provides a user with a easy way of testing the robustness of the run's best plans with respect to different sets of input parameters. For example, a user may want to investigate the robustness of a plan that has been trained without noise in the world model's sensors in a world model having noisy sensors. In this case, the training parameter list would have the noise set to zero (or not included, since the default is zero), and the testing parameter list would have noise set at some value between 0 and 1. If noise were set to 0.10, then a sensor would have added to it a random deviant from a normal distribution having a mean of 0.0 and a standard deviation equal to 10 percent of the legal range of that sensor.

The run-samuel script can take several arguments. The first argument is the suffix of a params file used as input for the training environment and the first testing environment. The remaining arguments are the suffixes of params files used as input to specify additional testing environments. If a user invokes run-samuel without arguments, then SAMUEL uses the params

file for the training environment and the first testing environment. For example, suppose a user specifies three arguments: the first argument is train, the second argument is noise1, and the third argument is noise2. The command for running SAMUEL would be

## % run-samuel train noise1 noise2

The run-samuel script would run SAMUEL using params.train, and test the resulting best files using params.train, params.noise1, and params.noise2. Notice that even though the parameter files used for testing may include GA parameters, GA parameters are not used during testing. The script tests plans without modification from the GA, and without modification from the CPS's credit assignment function. If params.train were used as a basis for training and testing, then run-samuel would generate three summary sets of data for plotting graphs: (1) learning curve graph.train.train, (2) learning curve graph.train.noise1, and (3) learning curve graph.train.noise2. A user would probably plot (1) and (2) together and (1) and (3) together to show comparisons.

# Description of the run-samuel Script

The **run-samuel** script on-line contains useful comments. The description below elaborates on these comments. The beginning of the **run-samuel** script is as follows:

Comment	Statement
1.	#!/bin/csh -f
2.	if (\$#argv > 0) then  cp params.\$1 params  endif
3.	samuel
4.	set exps='grep experiments params' set exps=\$exps[3] set episodes=100 set ex=1
5.	while (\$ex <= \$exps) uncompress best.\$ex
6.	retest best.\$ex \$episodes   mkgraph > epoch.\$ex
7.	rm -f testplans.\$ex set cmd='print \$2' set n='wc -l epoch.\$ex' set n=\$n[1] set j=1 while (\$j <= \$n) cat epoch.\$ex   awk "(NR==\$j) {\$cmd}"   extract -c best.\$ex >> testplans.\$ex @ j += 1 end
8.	rm epoch.\$ex compress best.\$ex
9.	@ ex += 1 end

- 1. If a user removes the comment sign (#) from the first line, the run-samuel script works in subshell without reading the .cshrc file before invocation.
- 2. If there are arguments, the script copies params file of the first argument to params.
- 3. Using the first parameter file, the run-samuel script invokes samuel. For each experiment ex, where ex = 1,..., experiments, (experiments is specified in the params file), samuel creates a file best.ex. Two parameters affect the organization of each best.ex file: (1) best\_interval sets variable Best\_interval (default is 10), and (2) bestpct sets variable Best\_pct (default is 0.2). Best\_interval specifies the between-generation-interval, or epoch, for writing out the population's best plans to the best.ex file. Best\_pct specifies the percentage of the population making up the best performing plans. Every Best\_interval generations samuel appends the current Best\_pct of the population to a best.ex file. Each best.ex is reduced in size using the UNIX compress command.
- 4. Before entering a while loop that examines each best.ex file, the script sets up some internal variables. The variable ex is the experiments loop counter. The variable exps is the number of experiments used as the upper bound condition in the while loop. The script isolates the number of experiments by using UNIX grep command to extract from the params file the expression containing the number of experiments (e.g., experiments = 10). The script sets the episodes variable, one of the command line inputs to the retest program invoked in the following while loop, to 100. To change the number of episodes for retest, a user would edit the run-samuel script.
- 5. After completing the samuel run, the script enters the while loop iterating over experiments. By using the UNIX uncompress command, the script first restores the best.ex file for the curent experiment so that retest can examine the file.
- 6. The run-samuel script runs retest in order to get a better statistical estimate of the performance of each plan. Using a best.ex file and episodes as command line input, the retest program recomputes the performance of the plans in the best.ex file using a larger number of episodes (e.g., 100) than the number used in the samuel run (e.g., 10). The retest gives all of the best plans an extended evaluation without modification by the GA or the CPS. Like the samuel program, the retest program uses the params file as input. However, retest overrides some parameters settings (without affecting params). Specifically, retest turns off the history mechanism (History\_flag = 0), turns off the the profit sharing plan (Psp\_rate = 0), and sets the maximum number of cycles (Ncycles) to 10,000,000. After processing a best.ex file, the script pipes the results of retest to the mkgraph script. The mkgraph script accepts as input the extended evaluation information from retest. For each epoch, the mkgraph script determines the best plan. Summaries of these best plans are then written to epoch.ex. This summary data include the index number of each epoch's best plan.
- 7. Using the index of each epoch's best plan stored in epoch.ex, the extract program extracts the best plan of each epoch from best.ex. The extract program writes out these plans to

- testplans.ex. The testplans.ex file is written in a compact rule format that gives only numeric information from the rules.
- 8. Having extracted the necessary information from best.ex, run-samuel reduces the size of the best.ex files once again to save disk space by using the UNIX compress utility.
- 9. At the bottom of the first while loop, **run-samuel** increments the experiment counter, ex. The while loop repeats until **ex** is greater than **exps**.

The middle of the run-samuel script is as follows:

Comment	Statement	
10.	set episodes = 1000 if (\$#argv > 0) then set i = \$argv[1] set k = 1 else set i = params set k = 0 endif	
11.	while (\$k <= \$#argv) rm -f graph.ave rm -f graph.all if (\$k > 0) then set j = \$argv[\$k] cp params.\$j params else set j = params endif	

- 10. The second half of the script starts by setting the script variable episodes to 1000. This indicates that each epoch's best plan will be retested for 1000 episodes. The script then initializes the variables i and k. The variable i stores the first argument of the script (a suffix to a params file). This params file specifies the parameters used as a base of comparison. The script then initializes the following while loop's index variable k. If there are no arguments to the script, then the script examines only the default params file having no suffix, and the following while loop executes only once.
- 11. Next the script enters the first of two while loops, one nested inside the other. The outer while loop iterates over the index to the argument list of the run-samuel script (k). Based on this index, the script sets j to the suffix of current params file being retested. The first time through the loop, j is the first argument itself. The script then copies the current

params.j to the file params. Notice the script overwrites the existing params file in the working directory. If there are no arguments, then the file suffix stored in j is called params to indicate that there is no specific file.

The last part of the run-samuel script is as follows:

Comment	Statement
12.	set ex = 1 while (\$ex <= \$exps) retest testplans.\$ex \$episodes > graph.\$i.\$j.\$ex
13.	avegraph \$i.\$j.\$ex
14.	cat graph.\$i.\$j.\$ex   getindex   extract testplans.\$ex > bestplan.\$i.\$j.\$ex rm graph.\$i.\$j.\$ex @ ex += 1 end
15.	mv graph.all graph.\$i.\$j.all mv graph.ave graph.\$i.\$j
16.	<pre>if (\$i != \$j) then     ttest graph.\$i.\$i graph.\$i.\$j &gt; ttest.\$i.\$j   endif   @ k += 1 end</pre>

- 12. A nested inner while loop examines each of the experiments, ex. The retest program reads in the parameters specified in the params file as well as accepting the command line arguments indicating the plans to be tested (testplans.ex) and the number of episodes (episodes). By executing the two while loops, the script retests plans using all parameter sets specified through argument list (outer loop index) for all experiments (inner loop index). Each retest generates output to graph.i.j.ex, where i is the first parameter file argument, j is some other argument (suffix of first through last params files), and ex is the index of the experiment.
- 13. Next, the avegraph script does the following: (1) joins each graph.i.j.ex file into a column of a table stored in file graph.all, and (2) for each point in the learning curve (each row), computes the average and standard deviation of the performance over the experiments examined so far in the inner while loop, and (3) writes out the current average learning curve to graph.ave. Each time through the while loop, avegraph writes over the old graph.ave.

- 14. Using getindex script, run-samuel finds the index of the best plan from the graph.i.j.ex file. This index is piped into the extract program which writes out the corresponding plan to bestplan.i.j.ex.
- 15. Before examining the next params file argument (index j), run-samuel copies the graph.all and graph.ave for the current parameter arguments to graph.i.j.all and graph.i.j.ave, respectively.
- 16. For the cases where different arguments are being examined (e.g., i = first parameter argument and j = second parameter argument), run-samuel performs a student t-test between the data points of the two files and writes the result of the test to ttest.i.j.

# An Example Run Using run-samuel

In this example, we examine how sensor noise affects SAMUEL's performance. The example is based on an experiment reported in (Grefenstette, 1990).

There are two parameter files given as arguments to run-samuel: params.train, and params.noise. The params.train file is as follows:

```
: CPS PARAMS
episodes = 20
: EM PARAMETERS
control = 1
draw = 0
: GA PARAMS
experiments = 10
gens = 100
popsize = 100
length = 32
m rate = 1
c rate = 1
hist = 1
cluster = 1
spec rate = 1
init = 0
save = 0
last = 0
single_act = 1
```

The params.noise file is identical to param.train, except that there is an additional line in the EM parameters: noise = 0.10. (Note: A user needs approximately 3.5 Megabytes of disk space to run the following example. The clock run time is approximately 13 hours on a dedicated Sun SPARCSTATION.) Suppose we make the following run by entering:

## % run-samuel train noise

The samuel executable would repeat the experiment 10 times. For each iteration, the GA would generate new plans for 100 generations; each generation, there would 100 plans in the population; each plan would have no more than 32 rules, and the CPS would find an average evaluation of each plan using 20 episodes. Notice that in this run we have turned off the operator update mechanism (op rate is zero).

In order to initialize each plan to a maximally general rule, we set init to 0. New rules are generated primarily through the *specialize* operator, so **spec\_rate** is 1. In order to create diversity, the *crossover* rate and the plan's *mutation* rate are also very high (**c\_rate = 1**; **m\_rate = 1**). (The mutation rate in a plan is the default value of 0.01, since **mu\_rate** is not defined.) Rules are clustered before *crossover* is performed (cluster = 1). Since we are clustering rules, the history mechanism needs to be on (hist = 1). Setting single\_act to 1 constrains *specialize* and *mutate* to create rules specifying only one action-value (e.g., (turn 45 45)).

Every 10 generations, SAMUEL writes out the current best plan to file best.ex (which is the default interval of generations), where ex is the experiment number. No savefiles are to be kept, so save is zero. Setting the EM parameter control to 1 indicates that actions are selected through rule competition in the CPS. A user does not affect the program run through display inputs.

In all other cases, SAMUEL assumes the default parameter values. (In the on-line version of the params file, the gen\_rate, del\_rate, merge\_rate, creep\_rate, crp\_rate, op\_rate, and good\_payoff parameters are explicitly set to their default values.)

The run-samuel script would generate learning curves graph.train.train and graph.train.noise. If a user has eview previewer installed, then the user can view these graphs as follows:

# % show graph.train.train graph.train.train

Each plot has vertical bars at the data points indicating the standard deviations of the measurements.

Figures 5 shows a comparison of these learning curves. Rather than using error bars to indicate the range of the measurements, the plot uses vertical dotted lines to indicate significant statistical differences between the curves.




Figure 5. Learning Curves with Noise-Free Training Environment Testing at the 10 Percent Noise Level

# Summarizing the Trace File

The wins command summarizes the trace file. The command

% wins trace

reports both mean and variance for the number of wins in samples consisting of 50 episodes each. The output format is:

totalwins totalepisodes mean std samples

# WORKING DIRECTORIES FOR NEW PROBLEM SPECIFIC DOMAINS

The user should create a working directory for each new Problem Specific Module. For example, instead of creating a working directory for testing the EM environment (such as JEM\_test1), suppose a user creates a new working directory called JMYDOMAIN\_test1. A user should be aware that the Makefile makes two assumptions: (1) the Makefile expects a user to place the C source code modeling the Problem Specific Module in only one file (the EM domain uses the em.c file), and (2) the DOMAIN macro needs to be defined for each domain. For example, if a user's new model is in the mydomain.c file, then the user needs to set DOMAIN = mydomain in the Makefile of JMYDOMAIN\_test1. If the user enters "make all" at the command line after modifying the Makefile, then the MYDOMAIN\_test1 directory should contain the executables samuel and run-plan that are specific to the new domain.

### RUNNING SAMUEL STARTING FROM A CHECKPOINT FILE

The NAMUEL system provides a way for the user to periodically save the current state of the GA. Should a user's program stop before its normal completion, the user can restart SAMUEL based on information stored in a checkpoint file. To store a checkpoint file a user needs to define the following in the params file: save\_interval, the number of generations between saving state information (default = 10), save, the number of save files maintained (default = 1), and savefile, the name of the save file (default = save). If there is more than one save file, then the GA saves the current state to these files in a round robbin fashion.

The GA saves its current state information by calling save\_state() in procedure generate() before advancing the population pointers for the next generation. Procedure save\_state() writes the GA's current state to the save file. To start the program again from the last saved state, a user needs to set the restart flag to 1 in the params file so that the main() program of samuel will call restart() during the initialization phase. Procedure restart() reads the file save\_state() stored. If there is more than one savefile, the user also needs to specify, via parameter savefile, which savefile is to be read during the restart of samuel.

# **CHAPTER 8**

# PROGRAM SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE

All questions and program bugs should be reported to:

John J. Grefenstette
Naval Research Laboratory, Code 5514
The Navy Center for Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence (NCAR A I)
4555 Overlook Ave., S.W.
Washington, D. C. 20375-5000

Internet: gref@aic.nrl.navy.mil Telephone: (202) 767 - 2885

Suggestions and comments regarding improvements to this User's Manual are also welcome.

# APPENDIX A: RUNTIME INPUT PARAMETERS

Many features of SAMUEL are controlled through run-time parameters. The params file contains the runtime parameter settings. The default value a parameter is in braces after the parameter name. The params.def file contains a table specifying the parameter's name, the associated variable name in SAMUEL, and the default initial value of the associated variable.

A user may list the parameters in the params file in any order. However, should the user specify a parameter multiple times, the parameter will take on the value of the last entry scanned.

A user may incorporate comments in the params file by beginning a line with ";" or "#."

In all cases where a file name is specified, the names "stdin" and "stdout" can be used instead to indicate that data are to be read from stdin, or printed to stdout, respectively.

# APPENDIX A1: CPS RUNTIME PARAMETERS

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
bbarate	Bba_rate	0.01	Update rate for bucket brigade.
cycles	Ncycles	1000000	Approximate upper bound for number of cycles to execute. The actual number of cycles may exceed this, because CPS always completes an episode once started. CPS runs until either cycles or episodes is reached at the beginning of an episode. A user can pick either one as the real limit by setting the other one high.
debug	Debug	0	If set, CPS prints voluminous tracing statements for debugging purposes.
episodes	Nepisodes	1000000	Upper bound on number of episodes to execute. CPS runs until either cycles or episodes is reached at the beginning of an episode. A user can pick either one as the real limit by setting the other one high.
etrace	Etrace_flag	0	If set, the CPS prints a line of statistics to tracefile for each episode, showing episode counter, number of steps, payoff, and number of partial matches.
hist	History_flag	1	Keeps an internal trace of each step performed by the CPS. This history is necessary for specialize, cluster, and generalize to work.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
outrulefile	Outrulefile	rules.out	Name of output file for ruletrace.
psprate	Psp_rate	0.01	Update rate for profit sharing plan.
rander	Rand_cr_flag	0	If set, conflict resolution is "random" in the sense that the rule strengths are ignored. In fact, the first rule in the match set that suggests a given action becomes the bidder for that action, and all bids are considered equal.
rulefile	Rulefile	rules	Name of input file containing rules.
rules	Nrutes	G	Number of rules in the rule file upon initialization.
ruletrace	Rule_trace_flag	0	If positive, prints out all the current rules to the outrulenle every ruletrace episodes.
seed	Cps_seed	987654321	CPS random number generator seed.
tracefile	Tracefile	trace	Name of output file for trace.

# APPENDIX A2: GA RUNTIME PARAMETERS

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
ancestors	Ancestors	2	Number of population arrays to keep. Need at least two, for current and last generation.  Could be used for keeping genealogical traces.
base_rate	Baseline_rate	0.2	Rate at which the selection baseline is updated.
best_interval	Best_interval	10	Generations between printing to bestfile.  If 0, no printing to bestfile.
bestfile	Bestfile	best	Output file for the best plans.  Every best_interval generations, the top bestpct of the current population is printed in the bestfile.
bestpct	Best_pct	0.2	Percentage of population to print in the bestfile.
boost_trace	Boost_op_trace	0	Sends trace relating to operator adjustment of plans to standard out
c_rate	Oprob[CROSS]	0.0	Initial rate for crossover.
cluster	Clusterflag	1	If set, crossover assigns clusters of rules to the same offspring; otherwise, crossover performs uniform random crossover.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
cluster_rate	Cluster_rate	0.2	The inverse of the mean length of a cluster, where a cluster is a set of rules that fire in sequence in a successful episode. Used by cluster and crossover to assign clusters of rules to the same offspring.
creep_rate	Oprob[CREEP]	0.0	Initial rate for creep, which is like mutation but makes the smallest possible change instead of a random one.
crp_rate	Creep_rate	0.01	If positive, then crp_rate is the inverse of the mean waiting time between applications of creep. For example, if crp_rate = 0.01, the chance of any atom being mutated is about 1 percent.
del_rate	Oprob[DEL]	0.0	Initial rate for <i>delete</i> deletes inactive rules.
experiments	Nexperiments	1	How many experiments to run. Each experiment begins with a fresh initial population and a different random seed. If experiments > 1, the bestfile will have the experiment number appended to the names specified in the params file. For example if the bestfile is called best and experiments > 1, then the best plans for the first experiment will be printed in the file best.1.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
ga_seed	Seed	987654321	GA random number seed.
gen_rate	Oprob[PCOV]	0.0	Initial rate for generalize covers partially matched rule firings.
geneology	Geneology	0	If set, print out every plan evaluated by the GA. Could be used for genealogy studies, but takes up many megagbytes of storage.
gens	Maxgens	1000000	Maximum number of generations to run. GA runs until either trials or gens is reached at the beginning of an generation. A user can pick either one as the real limit by setting the other one high.
good_payoff	Good_payoff	1000.0	Payoff threshold for a successful episode. Used by specialize, generalize, and cluster.
init	Initflag	0	Plans are initialized depending on init. If:  0 with a general rule.  1 with rules from the initfile and a general rule.  2 with rules from initfile.
initfile	Initfile	init	Input file containing rule set used to seed initial population. See init for initialization method.
last	Lastflag	1	If set, save the final state of experiment n in file last.n.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
length	Length	100	Maximum number of rules in any one plan. Maximum value: 512.
log	Logflag	1	If set, print the starting time, ending time, and overall offline, online, and best measure in the logfile.
max	Maxflag	1	If set, perform maximization; otherwise, perform minimization.
logfile	Logfile	log	File for printing system activity log.
m_rate	Oprob[MU]	0.0	Initial rate for mutation.
merge_rate	Oprob[MRG]	0.0	Initial rate for merge merges rules with same rhs, as long as new rule do not intersect with any rule already present.
mu_rate	Mu_rate	0.01	If positive, then mu_rate is the inverse of the mean waiting time between mutations. For example, if mu_rate = 0.01, the chance of any atom being mutated is about 1 percent.
op_rate	Op_update_rate	0.1	Rate for updating the operator probabilities. If 0, no updating is done.
out	Outflag	1	If set, writes out statistics each generation to the outfile.
outfile	Outfile	out	Output file for printing GA performance stats.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
popsize	Popsize	50	Population size.
restart	Restartflag	0	If set, read a previously saved state from the savefile and continue the run from that point.
savefile	Savefile	save	Output file for a snapshot of current state for later restarts.
save	Nsaves	1	Number of savefiles to keep.
save_interval	Save_interval	10	How often (in generations) to create a savefile.
single_act	Single_act	0	If set, specialize, mutate, and creep are constrained to create rules with a single value for each action, e.g., (turn 45 45) rather than (turn 0 90).
spec_rate	Oprob[GCOV]	0.0	Initial rate for specialize covers general rule firings.
stdev	Stdev_weight	1.0	The number of standard deviations baseline is below the mean. That is, if stdev = n, then baseline tends toward (mean - (n)(standard deviation)).
trace	Traceflag	0	If set, print tracing statements (usually, whenever a major GA function is entered or exited) to tracefile. For debugging.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
trials	Maxtrials	1000000	Approximate maximum number of trials (evaluations) to perform.  The actual number of trials may exceed this, because GA always completes a generation once started. GA runs until either trials or gens is reached at the beginning of a generation. A user can pick either one as the real limit by setting the other one high.

# APPENDIX A3: EM RUNTIME PARAMETERS

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
bear_hi	Bear_hi	12.0	Upper bound for bearing at the start of each episode. Maximum bear_hi is 12.0.
bear_lo	Bear_lo	0.0	Lower bound for bearing at the start of each episode. Minimum bear_lo is 0.0.
control	Control	1	Indicates how actions are selected.  If:  0 actions are random.  1 actions are selected by competition among the rules.  2 the user specifies the actions interactively.  Interactive commands for EM:  x make a random turn s straight r n turn right 45n degrees l n turn left 45n degrees q terminate this episode (with success) n turn (45n - 180) degrees d dumpfile store env in named file c dumpfile restore env from named file  R switch control to rules for the rest of the run take the action recommended by the firing rule

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
delay	Delay	1	Controls the speed of the display.
detail	Detail	0	Controls level of detail printed in the detailfile. If:  0 no information is printed.  1 information concerning the sensor readings, the selected action and the firing rule is printed.  2 same as 1 except firing rule is not printed.  3 same as 2 except symbolic labels are omitted.
detailfile	Detailfile	detail	Indicates the name of the file to which detail information is printed.
draw	Drawflag	0	<ol> <li>no display.</li> <li>display with inertial background frame (both plane and missile move around screen).</li> <li>display with plane centered (only missile appears to move) but orientation of background frame remains fixed.</li> <li>display orientation is fixed with respect to plane this makes it easier to see the missile's relative motion wrt to the plane.</li> <li>like 1 except uses a special font containing icons for plane and missile.</li> </ol>

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
dump	Dump_env	0	If dump > 0, the environment is stored in dumpfile when Cycle = dump. Can also be used interactively if control = 2 (and draw > 0).
dumpfile	Dump_env_file	"dump.env"	The file into which EM state variable are written during a dump.
em_seed	Env_seed	987654321	Seed for EM random number stream.
head_hi	Head_hi	0.0	Upper bound for bearing at the start of each episode. Maximum head_hi is 360.0.
head_lo	Head_lo	0.0	Lower bound for heading at the start of each episode. Minimum head_lo is 0.0.
maxtime	Maxtime	19	Controls the maximum number of steps in an episode. If the plane survives this long, it wins the episode.
mspeed_loss	Mspeed_loss	5.0	How much speed the missile loses when flying in a straight line.
mspeed_min	Mspeed_min	100.0	Missile speed threshold below which missile falls out of sky.
mturn_max_hi	Mturn_max_hi	PI/16	Upper bound for the maximum change in missile direction per substep.
mturn_max_lo	Mturn_max_lo	PI/16	Lower bound for the maximum change in missile direction per substep.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
noise	Noise	0.0	Controls noise to be added to sensors (before discretizing). If a sensor has a range of $R$ , and its true value is $X$ , the noisy value is selected from a normal distribution with mean $X$ and standard deviation (noise)( $R$ ).
pspeed_max	Pspeed_max	333.0	Plane speed when flying straight. The plane speed when turning is a decreasing function if the turning rate.
range_hi	Range_hi	1000.0	Upper bound for range at the start of each episode. Maximum range_hi is 1500.
range_lo	Range_lo	1000.0	Lower bound for range at the start of each episode. Minimum range_lo is 0.
restore	Restore_env	0	If <b>restore</b> > 0, the environmental state is restored from <b>restorefile</b> during the first cycle of the run.
restorefile	Restore_env_file	"dump.env"	The file from which EM state variables are read during a restore operation.
safe	Safe_radius	10.0	Radius of safe distance from plane to missile. If the closest point of approach drops below the safe range during any substep, the plane is hit.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
sp <del>ee</del> d_hi	Speed_hi	700.0	Upper bound for speed at the start of each episode.  Must be non-negative.
speed_lo	Speed_lo	700.0	Lower bound for speed at the start of each episode.  Must be non-negative.
time_hi	Time_hi	0	Upper bound for time sensor at the start of each episode. Maximum time_hi is 19.
time_lo	Time_lo	0	Lower bound for time sensor at the start of each episode.
tstep	Tstep	10	Number of substeps per simulation step. During each substep, the plane and missile move in straight lines, and the screen is updated. Increasing this parameter improves the smoothness of the object's motion, but slows down the simulation.
turn_lo	Turn_lo	0	Lower bound for last-turn at the start of each episode. Minimum turn_lo is -180.
turn_hi	Turn_hi	0	Upper bound for last-turn at the start of each episode. Maximum turn_hi is 180.

Parameter	Variable	Default	Description
xscale	Xscale	40	Controls the screen magnification in the horizontal direction.  Smaller values give greater magnification. Should be 80% of yscale.
yscale	Yscale	50	Controls the screen magnification in the vertical direction. Smaller values give greater magnification. Should be 125% of xscale.

## APPENDIX B: THE ATTRIBUTES FILE

The attributes file describes the format of the rules. The first two lines must specify the number of conditions and actions

```
conditions = <n>
action = <m>
Each condition and action is then described in turn.
For linear or cyclic attributes, the format is:
    condition <i>: (or action<i>:)
    name = < cond-name >
    type = linear (or cyclic)
    low = < low-value >
    high = < high-value >
    values = < value-count >
```

where cond-name is a label of up to 16 characters, low-value and high-value are integers, and value-count is the number of endpoints in the range from low-value to high-value. For example, entries for some EM attributes are shown in Figure 6.

```
condition 3:
name = range
type = linear
low = 0
high = 1500
values = 16
condition 5:
name = heading
type = cyclic
low = 0
high = 350
values = 36
action 1:
name = turn
type = linear
low = -180
high = 180
values = 9
```

Figure 6. EM attributes Entries.

For structured attributes the entry must define the tree of values bottom up. The format is:

```
condition <i>: (or action<i>:)
        name = < cond-name >
        type = structured
        leaf-values = < n >
        <value 1> ... <value n>
        interior-values = < m >
        name = < interior-value 1 >
        children = < c_1 >
        <child 1> ... <child c_1>
        name = < interior-value m >
        children = < c m >
        <child 1> ... <child c_m>
An example is shown in Figure 7.
       condition 7:
        name = weather
        type = structured
        leaf-values = 4
        snow rain cloudy sunny
        interior-values = 2
        name = wet
       children = 2
        snow rain
       name = dry
       children = 2
        sunny cloudy
```

Figure 7. Entry in attribute File for Structured Sensors.

For pattern attributes the format is:

```
condition <i>: (or action<i>:)
name = < cond-name >
type = pattern
pattern length = < l >
values = < n >
```

Here is an entry for a pattern attribute:

condition 8: name = vision type = pattern pattern length = 6 values = 61

This defines vision as a 6 bit pattern that can take on binary values between 0 (000000) and 60 (111100), inclusive. For true bit patterns, the number of values will be a power of two, but this mechanism also allows using patterns for numeric attributes as well.

#### APPENDIX C: THE RULES FILE AND THE INIT FILE

Both the rules and init input files contain rules having the same syntax as those generated by SAMUEL on output. The init file contains initial rules used to seed SAMUEL's population. To use file input, the init parameter in the params file must be 1 or 2. The rules file is used in conjunction with running SAMUEL without the GA. (For example, samuel reads the init file; run-plan reads the file rules.) A rule in either file consists of four lines: (1) the rule number and the condition(s), (2) the action(s), (3) the parent, created, fixed, matched and partially-matched associated properties, and (4) the action, bid, active, fired, mean, var, strength, act, and bias associated properties. For example, a typical rule might be

```
Rule 1 if (and (last-turn -90 135) (time 3 13) (range 200 1000)

(bearing 5 11) (heading 270 200) (speed 50 450))

then (and (turn 45 45))

parent 0 created 0 fixed 1 matched 0 partially-matched 0

action 1 bid 0 active 0 fired 0 mean 500 var 0 strength 500 act 0.5 bias 0
```

When a rule is fixed, it remains in plan without be replaced through modification during mutation or creep. The fixed designation only has significance when running samuel.

If an atom in a rule covers the entire range of a condition or action, then the atom does not have to be expressed in the rule. For example, in Rule 2, all of conditions are implicitly general except for the "last-turn" condition:

```
Rule 2 if (and (last-turn -90 135))
then (and (turn 45 45))
parent 0 created 0 fixed 1 matched 0 partially-matched 0
action 1 bid 0 active 0 fired 0 mean 500 var 0 strength 500 act 0.5 bias 0
```

The following rule expresses a random action for all sensor conditions:

```
Rule 3 if (and)
then (and)
parent 0 created 0 fixed 1 matched 0 partially-matched 0
action 1 bid 0 active 0 fired 0 mean 500 var 0 strength 500 act 0.5 bias 0
```

Rule 3 is a maximally general rule. Notice that this is the same as the rule in params.rand in the *Idemo* directory. This rule does not have to be explicitly included in the init file when running SAMUEL. Setting the init parameter to 1 in a params file ensures that SAMUEL uses both a maximally general rule and the rules from the init file.

# APPENDIX D: FILES, FUNCTIONS, AND PROCEDURES

Appendix D gives implementation details of the SAMUEL system by file. The subappendices organize similar files into groups for easy reference. Appendix D1 lists files predominantly containing data structures. Most of the data structures for SAMUEL are in the .h files. For example, the complete definition of the PLAN data structure is in the define.h file; the complete definition of the RULE data structure is in the common.h file. Appendix D2 lists two driver files: genesis.c, the main driver for the SAMUEL system, and run-plan.c, a driver that permits the CPS to be run independently of the GA. Appendix D2 also lists the restart procedure in restart.c used for restart a SAMUEL run using a checkpoint file. Appendix D3 reviews the files making up the CPS module: the attributes.c and the cps.c files. Appendix C4 reviews the numerous files making up GA module: cluster.c, creep.c, cross.c, delete.c, eval.c, evaluate.c. generalize.c, generate.c, init.c, measure.c, merge.c, mutate.c, ops.c, parallel.c, reset.c. select.c, and specialize.c. Appendix D5 describes the files of the EM world model: em.c and history.c. The em.c file illustrates many of the procedures and functions that must be defined for the CPS interface. Appendix D6 lists files containing utilities. Some of these utilities are used by only one of the three modules. For example, the CPS uses the utilities in atom.c; the GA uses the utilities found in best.c. Appendix D7 lists the shell scripts included with this distribution. Appendix D8 lists the program input files.

### APPENDIX D1: DATA STRUCTURE FILES

#### common.h

The common.h file contains data structures, constants, and macros common to the CPS, GA, and EM modules. The file contains the following constants pertaining to: (1) the pseudorandom generator, (2) upper bounds limits on arrays used in the file's type definitions, and (3) case statement descriptors. Type definitions for structures include PARAM\_TABLE, ATOM, RULE, ATTRIBUTE, and HISTORY, as well as pointers to these structures. Macros include: (1) pseudo random generator (i.e., RANDOM(SEED), uniformly random over [0, 1), IRAND(SEEF, LOW, HIGH), uniformly random over an integer interval, and URAND(SEED, LOW, HIGH), uniformly random over a real interval), (2) comparative operations (i.e., MAX, MIN) and (3) the ABS operation. File common.h includes the file sets.h.

## cps.h and cps\_extern.h

The cps.h file and its comparable external file cps\_extern.h contain the definitions of constants and the declaration variables used by the CPS. The cps.h file contains the definition of the upper bound constants SETS\_PER\_CONDITION and SET\_SIZE, used in the declaration of the match set arrays Matchset[] and MS[]. File cps.h sets default values for the variables associated with the input parameters of the params file. File cps.h also defines array PARAM\_TABLE cps\_params[] which sets up the correspondence between input parameters and several CPS variables. This correspondence is also documented in the params.def file. File cps.h includes common.h.

### define.h

The define.h file defines constants and declares type structures for the GA. In particular, the file defines constants indicating the maximums for the number of ancestors saved in the history arrays (MAX\_ANCESTORS), the population size (MAX\_POPSIZE), and the number of operators (MAX\_OPERATORS). The file defines mnemonics for each of the operators (NOOP, MU, CROSS, SPEC, GEN, CREEP, DEL, and MRG), as well as the default strength of newly generated rules, DEFAULT STRENGTH.

The define.h file gives the type definition of a PLAN. In addition, define.h includes a output TRACE macro that prints out a string to standard output and flushes the buffer. File define.h includes common.h.

# elog defs.h

The elog defs.h file contains definitions for the Mach Uniform System elog library

### genesis.h and extern.h

The genesis.h and its comparable external file extern.h file declare variables used by the GA. These include: loop counters (Experiment, Gen, Trials), performance statistics (Converged, Offline, Offsum, Online, Onsum, Stdev, Totbest, Totoffline, and Totonline), performance save values (Ave\_current\_value, Best\_individual, Best\_current\_value, and Worst\_current\_value), variables saving initial values (Initseed and Irules), GA state variables (Baseline, Best\_next, Creep\_next, Current\_pop, Current\_save, Mu\_next, and Nextid), and execution flags (Doneflag).

The genesis.h file also declares arrays and variables relating to operators: Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE], the current offspring list, Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS], the current offspring count, Oprob[MAX\_OPERATORS], the initial operator probabilities, V[MAX\_OPERATORS], the current operator probabilities, and op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS], the actual frequencies of operator application.

The genesis.h file sets the default values for the variables associated with the input parameters of the params file. In addition, the file defines array PARAM\_TABLE ga\_params[] which sets up the correspondence between the input parameters and several GA variables. This correspondence is also documented in the params.def file.

File genesis.h includes define.h and the standard math.h and stdio.h files. File genesis.c includes file genesis.h.

#### sets.h

The sets.h file include the type definitions of unsigned int SET\_ELEMENT and its pointer.

### APPENDIX D2: MAIN PROGRAM DRIVERS

## run-plan.c

The run-plan.c file contains a version of procedure main() that runs EM without the GA. This main program first initializes the the sensor and control attributes by calling get\_attributes(). Next, main() calls get\_params(cps\_params) and get\_params(env\_params) to initialize the CPS and EM parameters, respectively. If a a history of the plans is being maintained (i.e., the History\_flag is set by initializing hist in the params file to be 1), then main calls get\_memory to allocate memory for HISTORY structures. Enough memory is allocated to save rule information for each call int eval(PLAN \*p) makes to cps(). The driver reads in a rules file. (The user can change the default name rules by setting the rulefile parameter in the params file.) The driver then initializes the CPS by calling init\_cps(unsigned int seed, int n) and the environment by calling init\_env(unsigned int seed). Finally, main() calls cps() to run EM.

### genesis.c

The genesis.c file contains the main program for SAMUEL. The procedure main() initializes the GA by calling init\_ga(). If the Logflag is set, main() writes out the execution start time. If Restartflag is set, main() calls restart() to read in a previously saved state.

The main program then enters the experiments program loop. Nested within the experiments loop is the generations loop. Each generation, main() calls generate until Doneflag is set. After running an experiment, main() does the following: (1) accumulates performance measures, (by adding the current Online, Offline, and Best values into Totonline, Totoffline, and Totbest totals, respectively), (2) increments the counter Experiment, and (3) clears the generation counter Gen and Doneflag. The experiments loop ends when the counter Experiment is equal to the limit Nexperiments.

After exiting the experiments loop, main() computes the average online, offline, and best performances for the experiments. These results are written to the log file if Logflag is set.

### restart.c

The restart.c file contains procedure restart(). If the Restartflag is set (the restart parameter in the params file is 1), then main() (in genesis.c) calls this procedure for restarting SAMUEL using state information stored in a checkpoint file (by default called save).

### **APPENDIX D3: CPS FILES**

# attributes.c

The attributes.c file contains the get\_attributes() procedure. This procedure sets up data structures for sensors and controllers, indicating the ranges, the granularity, and the type of sensor or controller.

#### cps.c

File cps.c contains most of the procedures of the CPS.

# init\_cps(unsigned int seed, int n)

The int eval(PLAN \*p) function (in eval.c) calls init\_cps(unsigned int seed, int n) before calling the major procedure cps(). Initialization includes clearing the Cycle, Episode, and Step counters, setting the Ave\_Reward variable to zero, and initializing the CPS random number generator seed, Cps\_seed. Procedure init\_cps(unsigned int seed, int n) also initializes variables relating to the set of active rules for each of the control actions (i.e., Activesize[sn], Firing[sn], Fired[sn], Firedsize[sn], Fireingsize[sn], and Mark[sn], where sn is the sensor number). Finally, the initialization procedure calls compile\_rules() (in rules.c) which organizes the conditions of rules into a tree structure to facilitate the matching process.

# record\_sensor(int i, int ptr\_type, void \*ptr)

The record\_sensor(int i, int ptr\_type, void \*ptr) procedure maps a string, integer, or a double precision real sensor value into an integer approximation. The i argument is the sensor index; the ptr\_type argument indicates whether the sensor value is a string (ptr\_type = STRING = 1), an integer (ptr\_type = INT = 2), or double precision real (ptr\_type = DOUBLE = 4), and ptr is a pointer to the sensor value. The procedure maps sensor values into both a discrete valued approximation of the sensor (stored in Sensor[i]) and an index corresponding to that approximation (Index[i]). The record\_sensor(int i, int ptr\_type, void \*ptr) procedure first computes Index[i]); from this index the procedure then computes Sensor[i].

### cps()

The cps() procedure is the main execution loop for the CPS. After opening output files for traces (execution trace and rule trace), initializing the payoff variable to zero, and initializing the rule history data structures, cps() executes an episode loop lasting for Nepisodes, or for Ncycles, whichever comes first. These limits are compared to the Episode and Cycle counters, respectively. A cycle corresponds to a decision step within an episode. However, the Cycle counter is not reinitialized between episodes as is the decision Step counter. Thus, the main loop in cps() may curtail the number of episodes (to be less than Nepisodes) if the episodes tend to last for too many steps.

The decision loop is nested inside the episode loop. For each decision step. cps() calls several functions. At the top of the loop cps() calls read\_sensors() (in em.c) to obtain sensor values in the world model. Next, cps() calls find\_matches() to determine which rules have conditions that match sensor readings. For each control action, cps() calls resolve\_conflicts(int action) followed by set\_action(int action, int op). The resolve\_conflicts(int action) function selects an action-value among equally matching rules; set\_action(int action, int op) (in em.c) converts an action-value to one understood by the controller in the environment. After selecting an action-value, cps() calls take\_action() to actually carry out the control action in the environment. To get the critic's evaluation of the decision step (which is null until the end of the episode), cps() calls function double get\_reward() and sets the result to the CPS variable Reward. Finally, cps() calls update\_strengths() and update\_environment() to modify the rule strengths and update world model, respectively.

After leaving the decision loop, cps() increments payoff by Reward, thus maintaining a sum of the payoffs over the current set of episodes.

# update strengths()

For each control action ca, the update\_strengths(): (1) saves the current set of active rules by writing Firing[ca] to Fired[ca], and (2) reinitializes Firing[ca] and the counter Firingsize[ca]. Finally, update\_strengths() calls psp() to adjust rule strengths using the Profit Sharing Plan.

## **APPENDIX D4: GA FILES**

#### cluster.c

The cluster.c file contains the cluster(PLAN \*p) procedure. After calling eval(PLAN \*p) to evaluate plan and then calling specialize() and generalize() to modify a plan, evaluate() (in eval.c) calls cluster(). If Clusterflag is set, cluster(PLAN \*p) arranges rules together based on firing sequence using the best episodes in the last evaluation of the plan; otherwise, no clustering is performed. The History structure stores information on the last evaluation of the plan. Even with Clusterflag set, clustering only occurs if the History.payoff[best plan] is greater than or equal to Good\_payoff. (Good\_payoff is by default 1000.0; a user can specify a value for Good\_payoff through the good\_payoff parameter in the params file.) Clusters of rules are randomly assigned to the offspring. Stochastic selection from an exponential distribution having a mean Cluster\_rate determines which offspring receives a cluster. All rules, whether fired or not, are assigned to one of the offspring. The subsequent crossover operation varies depending on whether or not rules have been clustered.

## creep.c

The creep.c file contains a procedure and a related function: creep() and char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1).

The CREEPLIST[plan index] (the same as the CREEP vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the creep operator. The CREEPMAX (the same as the CREEP dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

The creep() procedure calls char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) in an iterative loop for all plans in the CREEPLIST. Function char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) attempts to perform the creep operation on a plan p1 and returns 1 or 0 depending the success of the operation. If char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) has changed the plan (i.e., changed is set), then creep() calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) (in ops.c) to increase the creep operator probability of that plan (assuming Op\_update\_rate is greater than zero). At the bottom of the loop, creep() stores the percent usage of the creep operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array. Outside of the loop, if the Boost\_op\_trace flag is set (via setting the boost\_trace parameter in the params file), a trace statement reports whether or not the plan was "creeped."

Function char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) applies the creep operator to both the conditions and actions of rules. The Creep\_next counter saves the current position in the plan where the operator is being applied. The function updates Creep\_next using stochastic selection from an exponential distribution having a mean of 1/Creep\_rate. After testing to make sure that a rule is not fixed, char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) calls copy\_rule(RULE \*r, RULE \*q) (in rules.c) to copy the current rule into a temporary rule structure. The function char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) applies the creep operator to a condition or an action part of the rule by calling

creep\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) (in atoms.c). If the function modifies a
rule to one not already in the plan, char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p1) calls copy\_rule(RULE \*r,
RULE \*q) to copy the rule in the temporary rule structure back to the gene.

#### cross.c

The cross.c file contains a procedure and a related function: crossover() and int crossover plans(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2).

The CLIST[plan index] (the same as the CROSS vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the crossover operator. The CMAX (the same as the CROSS dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

The crossover() procedure calls int crossover\_plan(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2) in an iterative loop, passing to the function each time a pair of plans from the CLIST. Function int crossover\_plan(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2) performs uniform crossover of the parent plans on rule boundaries. The function returns the value 0 through 3, depending on which parent(s) contributed to making children: if neither parent contributed, then the returned value is 0; if only the first parent contributed, then the returned value is 1; if the second parent contributed, then the returned value is 2; if both parents contributed, then the returned value is 3. The crossover() procedure then calls boost\_if\_changed(int changed, int mom, int dad, int opcode) (in ops.c) which in turn calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) (also in ops.c) to increase the crossover operator probability of those plans contributing offspring (assuming Op\_update\_rate is greater than zero). (Procedure boost\_if\_changed(int changed, int mom, int dad, int opcode) also stores the percent usage of the crossover operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array.) At the bottom of the loop, crossover() computes Converged based on the average percentage of duplicate rules in the plans.

Function int crossover\_plans(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2) goes through several steps to perform uniform crossover. In order to sense later on whether or not there is a new offspring, the function resets the flags changed, mom\_changed, and dad\_changed. Next, the function marks the "status" field of the rules of each parent to indicate whether or not a rule is the same in both parent plans (i.e., a duplicate). Before proceeding with crossover, the function makes sure that one parent does not subsume another. If one does subsume another, the function returns without performing crossover. After computing the average percentage of duplicate rules for a pair of plans, the actual crossover operation proceeds.

The crossover operation is done in three steps. First, the function int crossover\_plans(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2) copies all of the duplicate rules into both offspring. Second, the function copies rules from parent plans to the offspring plans based on the rule assignments determined in cluster(PLAN \*p) (called previously from evaluate()). When Clusterflag is set, rules that have fired are preassigned to one of the offspring before calling crossover(). Third, the function randomly assigns unassigned rules to the offspring. A rule is

unassigned when: (1) rule clustering is off, or (2) rule clustering is on, but the rule remains unassigned since it did not fire.

If the Boost\_op\_trace is set, the function writes an output trace indicating which plans created new offspring. After assigning rules to the offspring, int crossover\_plans(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2) overwrites the parent plans with the the offspring plans. Before returning control to crossover(), int crossover\_plans(PLAN \*p1, PLAN \*p2) uses the mom\_changed and dad\_changed flags to encode the returned changed value.

## delete.c

The delete.c file contains a procedure and a related function: delete() and int delete\_rules(RULE \*rule, int length).

The DELLIST[plan index] (the same as the DEL vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the delete operator. The DELMAX (the same as the DEL dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

The delete() procedure calls int delete\_rules(RULE \*rule, int length) in an iterative loop for all plans in the DELLIST. Function int delete\_rules(RULE \*rule, int length) goes through all of the rules in a plan, testing whether or not to apply the delete operator. When done, the function returns the remaining number of rules in the plan. If int delete\_rules(RULE \*rule, int length) has reduced the number of rules in the plan, then delete() calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) (in ops.c) to increase the delete operator probability of that plan (assuming Op\_update\_rate is greater than zero). At the bottom of the loop, delete() stores the percent usage of the delete operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array, and saves the new length of the plan in the plan's length field. If the Boost\_op\_trace flag is set (via setting the boost\_trace parameter in the params file), a trace statement reports whether or not rules were deleted from the current plan.

Function int delete\_rules(RULE \*rule, int length) examines for all action parts of the rules, all pairs of rules in the plan to see whether or not a rule can be deleted. Each rule's strength is tested against a threshold strength (stored in variable threshold) which is the maximum of 500 or 110 percent of one of the other rules' strength. If a rule's stength is greater than threshold, then the stronger flag is set to indicate that the rule exceeds the threshold. If the stronger rule also subsumes the other rule (tested by calling subsumed(RULE \*r, RULE \*q) (in rules.c)) and the rule is not fixed, then the function sets the subsumed rule's status to zero. A zero status marks the rule for deletion. Function int delete\_rules(RULE \*rule, int length) also deletes non-fixed rules having low activity. If a rule's activity is less than 0.01, a rule's status is set to zero. Rules having a non-zero status are copied back sequentially into the plan using copy\_rule(RULE \*r, RULE \*q).

#### eval.c

File eval.c contains the int eval(PLAN \*p) function. The int eval(PLAN \*p) function first translates the genetic structure of the passed plan (RULE \*gene field in PLAN) into the rules needed by the CPS (RULE). Next, int eval(PLAN \*p) initializes the following before calling the CPS: (1) the pseudo-random generator seed, (2) the CPS by calling init\_cps(unsigned int seed, int n), and (3) the EM environment by calling init env(unassigned in seed).

Function int eval(PLAN \*p) then calls cps() to obtain the plan's average payoff per episode. The function stores one tenth of this average payoff to the plan's "value" field (value associated property). The difference between the average payoff and Baseline is stored in the plan's "fitness" field (fitness associated property). To save the current set of best plans (in Bestset) to a file, the function calls savebest plan(PLAN \*p). Finally, int eval(PLAN \*p) performs the inverse translation of copying the plan's rules (now modified in rule strength) back into a genetic structure used by the GA.

#### evaluate.c

The evaluate.c file contains the evaluate() procedure. Procedure evaluate() first stores the generation generation and the trial associated with each plan into the plan's "gen" and "trial" fields. For each member of the population, evaluate() calls: (1) eval(PLAN \*p), (2) specialize(int i), (3) generalize(int i), and (4) cluster(PLAN \*p). If the US compilation flag is set, evaluate() executes alternative code for performing parallel processing on the Butterfly.

## generalize.c

The generalize file contains a procedure and a related function: generalize(int i) and int generalize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length).

The GENLIST[plan index] (the same as the GEN vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the generalize operator. The GENMAX (the same as the DEL dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

Procedure evaluate() calls generalize(int i) within an iterative loop over population plans, passing the plan's index each time. This differs from the crossover() or mutate() procedures, where the iterative loop over plans is in the operator procedure itself. As a result, generalize(int i) first needs to find the plan's comparable GENLIST index given the plan's population index. The procedure saves the current number of rules in the plan (in oldlength) before calling function generalize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) to perform the actual generalize operation. This function returns the resulting number of rules in the plan, and generalize(int i) stores this number in newlength. At the bottom of the loop, if there is a change in the plan's length, generalize(int i) stores the percent usage of the generalize operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array, and then saves newlength in the plan's length field. If the

**Boost\_op\_trace** flag is set (via setting the **boost\_trace** parameter in the **params** file), a trace statement reports whether or not rules were generalized in the current plan.

Function int generalize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) examines the episodes associated with a plan. Starting with the first episode as a basis of comparison, the function performs a linear search for an episode that has a higher payoff at least as high as Good\_payoff (set via the the good\_payoff parameter in params). If the search is successful, the function attempts to generalize rules in the episode. This episode then becomes the new basis of comparison in finding an episode having an even higher payoff.

When int generalize(RULE \*rule, int length) finds a better performing episode, the function tests each rule in the episode to see if it fired due to a partial match. If so, the function copies the fired rule into a new rule structure, and then examines the conditions of the clone rule to determine which ones need generalizing. If a condition does not match the sensor value experienced when the rule fired (recorded in the History.sensors[decision step][condition index]), then the function generalizes the condition atom of the clone rule by calling generalize atom(\*attr, ATTRIBUTE \*atom, unsigned int value).

After generalizing a rule, int generalize(RULE \*rule, int length) initializes the generalized rule, indicating the rule's parent, creating time, and other associated properties. If the new rule is unique, then it is added to the plan.

## generate.c

The generate.c file contains the generate() procedure. The generate() procedure is the main program loop for the GA. The main program, main(int argc, char \*argv[]), calls generate(). For the first generation, Gen = 0, generate() calls reset\_ga() to initialize the population and select ops() to select the GA operators for each plan.

The procedure then calls evaluate() to obtain performance estimates of the initial plans, bypassing the generation of new plans using GA operators. After evaluating plans, the procedure performs end-of-loop tasks: (1) stores intermediate results by calling measure(); (2) sets the Doneflag when either the trial counter exceeds the maximum trials (Trials >= Maxtrials) or the generations counter exceeds the maximum generations (Gen >= Maxgens); (3) prints out the best performing plans by calling printbest(); (4) increments the generations counter, Gen; (5) saves the current state in a savefile by calling save\_state(), and (6) advances the population pointers for the next generation by setting Old to New. The procedure then returns control to main(int argc, char \*argv[]).

When main(int argc, char \*argv[]) calls generate() in subsequent generations, generate() starts by creating a new population of plans. As a first step, generate() calls select() to make copies of selected plans. The select\_ops() then selects the operators each plan will use in creating new population members. To create new plans from these copies by applying the various operators generate() calls crossover(), delete(), mutate(), creep(), and merge(). Each of these operator procedures checks the Olist[operator index][entry number] array to locate

those plans applying the operator in creating new offspring plans. The generate() procedure calls update\_oprobs() to adjust the operator probabilities. (Procedure update\_oprobs() only creates new plans if the operator update mechanism is on.)

Having created new plans, the generate() procedure once again calls evaluate(). The cycle repeats until main(int argc, char \*argv[]) no longer calls generate() due to Doneflag being set.

## init.c

The init.c file contains the init\_ga() procedure. The init\_ga() procedure first resets the Experiment counter and the Doneflag. Next, the procedure reads in the attributes file by calling get\_attributes() and the parameter file by calling get\_params(PARAM\_TABLE \*partab) for each type of parameter (i.e., CPS parameters, environmental parameters, and GA parameters).

After reading in file inputs, init\_ga() sets up several dynamic arrays. If the history flag History\_flag is set, then init\_ga() allocates memory for the history structure The init\_ga() procedure also allocates memory for the New and Old population arrays and their gene structures and for the array of best plans (Bestset) and their gene structures. After init\_ga() initializes memory for any initial rules, the procedure reads these rules into array Initset.

### measure.c

The measure.c file contains the measure() procedure. The measure() procedure records several statistics of a population's performance including: Best\_current\_value, Best\_individual, Worst\_current\_value, Ave\_current\_value, Best, Onsum (to compute Online), Offsum (to compute Offline), maxlength, minlength, avelength, Stdev (of the average current value), and Baseline. Variables maxlength, minlength and avelength are internal to measure(); the remaining variables are declared in genesis.h.

#### merge.c

The merge.c file contains a procedure and a related function: merge() and int merge rules from plan(PLAN\*p, int length).

The MRGLIST[plan index] (the same as the MRG vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the merge operator. The MRGMAX (the same as the MRG dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

The merge() procedure calls int merge\_rules\_from\_plan(PLAN \*p, int length) in an iterative loop for all plans in the MRGLIST. The function attempts to merge rules in a plan to form new rules for the plan. Function int merge\_rules\_from\_plan(PLAN \*p, int length) returns the number of rules in the resulting plan. If there are more rules after performing merge, then merge() calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) (in ops.c) to increase the merge operator

probability of that plan (assuming Op\_update\_rate is greater than zero). At the bottom of the loop, merge() stores the percent usage of the merge operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array. At the bottom of the loop, if the Boost\_op\_trace flag is set (via setting the boost\_trace parameter in the params file), a trace statement reports whether or not the plan generated new rules using merge.

In a pairwise .omparison of all rules in a plan, function int merge\_rules\_from\_plan(PLAN \*p, int length) calls same\_act(RULE \*q, RULE \*r) to see if two rules have the same action-values. If so, then the function calls rule\_union(RULE \*r, RULE \*q, RULE \*s) to create a new rule by forming the union of the two rules' conditions. The int merge\_rules\_from\_plan(PLAN \*p, int length) rejects this new rule if (1) its conditions intersect with some other rule in the plan (determined by calling cond\_intersect(RULE \*q, RULE \*r)) and (2) its action-values differ from this other rule. Otherwise, the function updates the rule index counter for the plan, initializes the rules' parent and creation time associated properties, and writes this new rule into the plan (using copy\_rule(RULE \*r, RULE \*q) in rules.c).

#### mutate.c

The mutate.c file contains a procedure and a related function: mutate() and int mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p). The structure of file mutate.c is the same as creep.c.

The MULIST[plan index] (the same as the MU vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the mutate operator. The MUMAX (the same as the MU dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

The mutate() procedure calls char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) in an iterative loop for all plans in the MULIST. Function char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) attempts to perform the mutate operation on a plan p1 and returns 1 or 0 depending on the success of the operation. If char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) has changed the plan (i.e., changed is set), then mutate() calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) (in ops.c) to increase the mutate operator probability of that plan (assuming Op\_update\_rate is greater than zero). At the bottom of the loop, mutate() stores the percent usage of the mutate operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array. Outside of the loop, if the Boost\_op\_trace flag is set (via setting the boost\_trace parameter in the params file), a trace statement reports whether or not the plan was mutated.

Function char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) applies the mutate operator to both the conditions and actions of rules. The Mu\_next counter saves the current position in the plan where the operator is being applied. The function updates Mu\_next using stochastic selection from an exponential distribution having a mean of 1/Mu\_rate. After testing to make sure that a rule is not fixed, char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) calls copy\_rule(RULE \*r, RULE \*q) (in rules.c) to copy the current rule into a temporary rule structure. The function char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) applies the actual mutate operation on a condition or an action part of the rule by calling

mutate\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) (in atoms.c). If the resulting mutate operation results in a rule not already in the plan, char mutate\_plan(PLAN \*p1) calls copy\_rule(RULE \*r, RULE \*q) to copy the rule in the temporary rule structure back to the gene.

### ops.c

The ops.c file contains procedures involving a plan's operator probability vector.

select\_ops()

Based on the plan's operator probability vector, a plan selects the operators to be used in creating offspring plans.

Procedure select\_ops() first initializes three arrays. The Onext[operator index] array stores the number of plans using an operator; the V[operator index] array stores the average probability of applying an operator over plans, and the op\_pcnt[operator index] array stores the actual percent usage of the operator. The select\_ops() procedure defines values for the first two arrays; each operator procedure computes op\_pcnt[operator index] after applying the operator.

For each member of the population, select\_op() selects a set of operators. In an iterative loop over operator indices, the function randomly selects whether or not to include the operator in the plan's operator set based on the operator's probability stored in the plan's operator probability vector. If a plan uses an operator, the plan's index is stored in the 2-dimensional array Olist[operator index][Onext[operator index]].

# boost oprob(PLAN \*p, int op)

If one of the GA operator procedures (e.g., mutate) successfully makes a change in a plan, then it calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op). If Op\_update\_rate is greater than zero, then boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) increments the probability of op in plan p by Op update\_rate/(1 - Op\_update\_rate).

### update oprobs()

Every generation after applying the genetic operators, if  $Op\_update\_rate$  is greater than zero, generate() calls  $update\_oprobs()$  to decay all of the operator probabilities in all of the plans by  $1 - Op\_update\_rate$ .

# boost\_if\_changed(int changed, int mom, int dad, int opcode)

The crossover procedure calls boost\_if\_changed(int changed, int mom, int dad, int opcode) if the crossover operation has created offspring plans that are different from their parents. In turn, boost\_if\_changed(int changed, int mom, int dad, int opcode) calls boost\_oprob(PLAN \*p, int op) to affect operator probability changes in plans contributing new offspring.

#### parallel.c

The parallel.c file contains the int process in parallel(int dummy, int i) function. Procedure evaluate() (in evaluate.c) calls this function (via Uniform System call GenOnI)

when the user compiles SAMUEL for the Butterfly's Mach Uniform System. This function permits population members to be evaluated in parallel.

#### reset.c

The reset.c file contains the reset\_ga() procedure. This procedure updates GA variables between experiments.

#### select.c

The select.c file contains the select() procedure. The generate() procedure calls select() after calling evaluate() and measure(). The select() procedure first computes the fitness of each plan in the population. When maximizing fitness (i.e., Maxflag is set via the max flag in the params file), a plan's fitness is non-zero if the plan's performance is greater than a baseline performance (i.e., greater than Baseline). In this case, the fitness is the plan's performance value minus Baseline. When minimizing fitness, a plan's fitness is non-zero if the plan's performance is less than Baseline; thus, fitness is Baseline minus the plan's performance.

Next, select() computes the average fitness. Based on this average, select() determines each plan's expected number of offspring. A plan's expected number of offspring is a plan's fitness divided by the average fitness. When all population members have a fitness value of zero, each plan's fitness is set to one along with the average population fitness.

Using a distribution based on each plan's expected number of offspring, the function randomly selects the number of offspring each plan contributes to the new generation. This is called "roulette wheel selection" in the GA literature (Baker, 1987). The "parent1" field of each new population member holds the index of of the parent plan. To increase the likelihood of creating new plans when generate() calls crossover() later on, select() randomly shuffles these parent indices. Finally, by using the parent indices as pointers, select() copies the contents of old plans (Old[plan index] to new plans (New[plan index] by calling copy\_plan(PLAN \*p, PLAN \*q).

### specialize.c

The specialize of file contains a procedure and a related function: specialize(int i) and int specialize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length). The overall structure of the file and its routines is similar to the structure of generalize.c.

The SPECLIST[plan index] (the same as the SPEC vector of the operator adjustment list Olist[MAX\_OPERATORS][MAX\_POPSIZE]) holds the list of all plans requiring the application of the specialize operator. The SPECMAX (the same as the SPEC dimension of Onext[MAX\_OPERATORS]) holds a count of the number of offspring requiring the application of the operator.

Procedure evaluate() calls specialize(int i) within an iterative loop over the population of plans, passing the plan's index each time. This differs from the crossover() or mutate()

procedures, where the iterative loop over plans is in the operator procedure itself. As a result, specialize(int i) first needs to find the plan's comparable SPECLIST index given the plan's population index. The procedure saves the current number of rules in the plan (in oldlength) before calling function specialize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) to perform the actual specialize operation. This function returns the resulting number of rules in the plan and specialize(int i) stores this number in newlength. At the bottom of the loop, if there is a change in the plan's length, specialize(int i) stores the percent usage of the specialize operator in the population in the op\_pcnt[MAX\_OPERATORS] array, and then saves newlength in the plan's length field. If the Boost\_op\_trace flag is set (via setting the boost\_trace parameter in the params file), a trace statement reports whether or not rules were specialized in the current plan.

Function int specialize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) examines the episodes associated with a plan. Starting with the first episode as a basis of comparison, the function performs a linear search for an episode that has a higher payoff at least as high as Good\_payoff (set via the the good\_payoff parameter in params). If the search is successful, the function attempts to specialize rules in the episode. This episode then becomes the new basis of comparison in finding an episode having an even higher payoff.

When int specialize(RULE \*rule, int length) finds a better performing episode, the function tests each rule in the episode to see if it is a maximally general rule (by calling general\_rule(RULE \*rule)). If so, the function copies the fired rule into a new rule structure, and then specializes each condition atom to cover the sensor value experienced when the rule fired (recorded in the History.sensors[decision step][condition index]) by cailing specialize\_atom( \*attr, ATTRIBUTE \*atom, unsigned int value, ATTRIBUTE oldatom).

After specializing a rule, int specialize(RULE \*rule, int length) initializes the specialized rule, indicating the rule's parent, creation time, and other associated properties. If the new rule is unique, then it is added to the plan.

# APPENDIX D5: EM FILES (WORLD MODEL FILES)

#### em.c

The em.c file contains the procedures and functions that simulate the EM world model, and utility functions used in updating the visual display. Procedures essential to the CPS's interface with the world model are init\_env(unsigned int seed), read\_sensors(), set\_action(int action, int op), take\_action(), double get\_reward(), int end\_of\_episode(), and reset\_env(). See Chapter 8 for a discussion on how to implement a world model using these procedures and functions.

The local variables used in modeling EM are placed at the top of the em.c file. There is no separate em.h file. File em.c also defines the array PARAM\_TABLE env\_params[], which sets up the correspondence between input parameters and EM variables. This correspondence is also documented in the params.def file.

A few data structures from cps.h are declared external at the top of em.c. These data structures are not essential to the EM world model; they are used for display output and output to the log file Detailfile.

# init env(unsigned int seed)

The init\_env(unsigned int seed) procedure sets up the environmental variables for a fresh run. In particular, the procedure initializes the pseudo-random number generator seeds em\_seed and noise\_seed. Procedure init\_env(unsigned int seed) also initializes EM internal variables relating to the payoff of an episode: reward, avereward, and totalreward. The procedure also initializes state variables such as winrate and successes.

# read\_sensors()

The read\_sensors() procedure first updates the world state in terms of the sensor readings and then records these readings into the Sensor[i] array, where i is the sensor index (by calling record\_sensor(int i, int ptr\_type, void \*ptr)). In the EM world model, read\_sensors() updates the graphical display if Drawflag is set. If the Detail is greater than zero, the function writes out sensor values at one of two levels of detail to Detailfile. (In general, three levels of detail are available. Levels 1 and 2 are combined in procedure read\_sensors().)

## set action(int action, int op)

The set\_action(int action, int op) procedure transforms an action-value of a rule in cps() to a form that can be used by the take\_action() procedure in em.c. The cps() procedure passes the action-value of a control and the control's index to set\_action(int action, int op) through the action and op arguments, respectively. The set\_action() procedure has several options for defining the EM variable turn, depending on the user interface specified through the Control variable. (The user specifies the Control variable using control parameter in the params file. See Appendix A3.) If Control specifies the RULES or PAUSE interfaces, set\_action(int action, int op) maps the action argument having the range [0, 9] into the EM variable turn having the

range [-4, 4]. The PAUSE interface permits the user to suspend the simulation. The RAND\_MOVE interface randomly selects a turn value over the range [-4, 4]. The USER interface permits a variety of responses depending upon a user's keyboard input (including random selection). The default response is to decrease turn by 4 until the user enters a command.

## take\_action()

In the EM domain, the take\_action() procedure contains the dynamical equations governing the movements of the plane and missile. The take\_action() procedure models the effect of the turn over a number of time steps maintained in the substeps counter. The simulation of the turn ends when (1) the missile hits the plane (endflag is set), (2) substeps exceeds the maximum time limit defined in Tstep, or (3) the missile's speed (in mspeed) falls below the minimum speed limit (in Mspeed\_min). The missile hits the plane if the distance between the missile and plane is less than Safe\_radius. If Drawflag is a value from 1 to 4, procedure take\_action() also displays the missile and plane by calling draw\_plane() and draw\_missile(), respectively. The view depends on the Drawflag option selected. A user sets variable Drawflag by setting the draw parameter in the params file. (See Appendix A3.)

# double get reward()

Function double get\_reward() returns reward at the end of an episode to calling procedure cps(). Procedure cps() assigns the returned value to the CPS variable Reward. The reward is 1000 if the plane is not hit; otherwise, the reward is (10)step + substep. The function also maintains the successes and totalreward counters, and EM's episodes counter. Function double get\_reward() also calculates the average reward per episode (avereward) and the percentage of successes per episode (winrate). If the Drawflag is set, the function updates the display; if the Detail is greater than zero, the function writes a summary of the episode at one of three levels of detail to Detailfile.

# int end of episode()

Function int end\_of\_episode() returns the world model's endflag to the CPS each step of an episode.

### update environment()

Generally, update\_environment() implements any background environmental changes occurring in the environment. In EM world model, the update\_environment() simply increments the episode's decision step counter (corresponding to the CPS's Step variable).

## reset\_env()

The reset\_env() function performs several "reset" operations: (1) clears state variables endflag, hit, and substep, (2) reinitializes display variables, and (3) reinitializes states variables for the plane and missile. Certain plane and missile state variables are reset based on the range limits specified in the params file. For example, reset\_env() randomly selects an initial value for bearing over the range Bear lo to Bear hi. After initialization, if Drawflag is set,

reset\_env() also calls draw\_plane() and draw\_inissile().

# history.c

The history.c file contains the print\_history(FILE \*fp, HISTORY \*h) and the read\_history(FILE \*fp, HISTORY \*h) procedures. The dump\_env(char \*filename) and restore\_env(char \*filename) procedures in em.c call the print\_history(FILE \*fp, HISTORY \*h) and the read\_history(FILE \*fp, HISTORY \*h) procedures, respectively. These lines of code are currently not being used (and are therefore commented out).

## APPENDIX D6: UTILITY FILES AND THEIR PROCEDURES

#### emfont

The **emfont** file contains a special font used in the EM world model display. A user can select the font by setting the **draw** parameter in the **params** file to 4. (See Appendix A3.)

#### atoms.c

The atoms.c file provides several utilities that the CPS uses for processing atoms.

read\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom, char \*s)

Procedure read\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom, char \*s) reads each atom of a rule during the execution of a while loop in read\_rule FILE \*fp, RULE \*r) (in rules.c). The first argument to read\_atom (ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom, char \*s) specifies the attribute (sensor or control); the second argument points to the condition values, and the last argument specifies the name of the atom.

print atom(FILE \*fp, ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom)

Procedure print\_rule(FILE \*fp, RULE \*r) (in rules.c) calls print\_atom(FILE \*fp, ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom) for each condition and action atom of a rule.

match atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom, int value)

Procedure match\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom, int value) matches the current sensor value against the lower and upper limits specified in an atom. The first argument points to the condition atom; the second argument points to the condition values, and the last argument specifies the current value of a sensor. Procedures find\_matches() (in cps.c) calls match\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom, int value) to generate a match set. Subsequently, resolve\_conflicts(int action) calls the matching procedure while determining the winning rule in the match set. Procedure subsumed(RULE \*r, RULE \*q) (in rules.c) also calls match\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom, int value) while testing to see if atoms in rules having a PATTERN type of attribute subsume one another. The int generalize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) function calls match\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM atom, int value) during rule generalization.

mutate\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom)

\*attribute, ATOM \*atom) to mutate the condition and action atoms of rules in plans. The first argument of mutate\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) points to the specific sensor or control atom; the second arguments points to the condition or action values. Procedure mutate\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) mutates an atom depending on its type: LINEAR, CYCLIC, STRUCTURED, or PATTERN. In all cases, the function randomly selects whether to mutate the lower or upper bound of the mutate atom. If Single\_act is set, mutate atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) mutates the upper bound and then sets

the lower bound to the same value.

# creep atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom)

Procedure char creep\_plan(PLAN \*p) (in creep.c) calls creep\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) to apply creep to both the condition and action atoms of rules in plans. This procedure is similar to mutate\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom), except that bounds are modified by incrementing or decrementing the existing values rather than by substituting new randomly selected values.

# make\_general\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom)

Procedure make general atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) creates a general atom having bounds that cover the range of the attribute. For LINEAR and CYCLIC atoms, the value of the lower bound is zero, and the value of the upper bound is the number of attributes values minus 1. For STRUCTURED and PATTERN atoms, both the upper and lower bounds are zero. The reset ga() procedure calls make general atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) to make a generally maximal rule during program initialization if Initflag is less than The read rule(FILE \*fp. RULE \*r) procedure also calls make general atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) for all conditions and actions of a rule before reading in condition and action boundary values for the atoms of the rule.

# specialize atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, ATOM \*atom, int value, ATOM oldatom)

Function int specialize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) (in specialize.c) calls specialize\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, ATOM \*atom, int value, ATOM oldatom) to specialize each condition of the rule, i.e., define the bounds for each rule condition, given the current sensor values. The first argument points to the condition atom being specialized; the second argument points to the condition values, the third argument specifies the current value of the corresponding sensor, and the fourth argument is the original atom before applying the specialize operator. Procedure specialize\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) specializes an atom depending on its type: LINEAR, CYCLIC, STRUCTURED, or PATTERN. In all cases, the procedure determines the upper and lower bounds of the specialized atom by covering the current sensor reading with upper and lower bounds that significantly reduce the range covered by the "old atom."

# print\_atom\_value(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, unsigned int value)

The print\_atom\_value(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, unsigned int value) procedure prints out a sensor value associated with an atom. If Traceflag is set, procedures int specialize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) and int generalize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) call print\_atom\_value(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, unsigned int value).

# generalize atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, ATOM \*atom, int value)

Function int generalize\_plan(RULE \*rule, int length) (in generalize.c) calls generalize\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attr, ATOM \*atom, int value, ATOM oldatom) to generalize each condition of the rule just enough to cover the current sensor values. The first argument

points to the condition atom being generalized; the second argument points to the condition values, and the third argument specifies the current value of the corresponding sensor. Procedure generalize\_atom(ATTRIBUTE \*attribute, ATOM \*atom) generalizes an atom depending on its type: LINEAR, CYCLIC, STRUCTURED, or PATTERN. In all cases, the procedure expands the upper and lower bounds of the atom enough to cover the current sensor reading.

#### best.c

The best.c file contain utilities used by the GA for saving the best current plan into the dynamic array Bestset and printing out the Bestset of plans. The file includes the procedures savebest(), savebest\_plan(PLAN \*p), and printbest(). Every Best\_interval generations, savebest() clears array Bestset to reinitialize it with the current best plan in the population. The savebest() function calls savebest\_plan() to save the current best plan. Function int eval(PLAN \*p) (in file eval.c) also calls savebest\_plan() after CPS evaluates the plan. Every Graph\_rate generations, generate() (the main driver for the GA) calls printbest() to write out an output file of the current best plans in the Bestset. These high performing plans are evaluated later on using longer episodes.

#### files.c

The files.c file contains functions for opening and closing streams which may or may not be the standard input/output files.

### memory.c

The memory.c file contains versions of malloc for both standard UNIX and the Mach Uniform System on the Butterfly.

## params.c

The params.c file contains utilities for processing the input parameters in the params file. These procedures include get\_params(PARAM\_TABLE \*pt), find\_parameterARAM\_TABLE \*pt, char \*s), and set param(FILE \*fp, PARAM TABLE \*pt, int n).

### plan.c

The plan.c file contains utilities the GA uses for printing, reading, and copying plans. These functions include print\_plan(FILE \*fp, PLAN \*p), read\_plan(FILE \*fp, PLAN \*p), print\_compact\_plan(FILE \*fp, PLAN \*p), read\_compact\_plan(FILE \*fp, PLAN \*p), and copy\_plan(PLAI \*p, PLAN \*q). In the "compact" plan representation, the GA only stores numerical information; e.g., the file does not contain the name of the sensors and actions, nor the names of each rule's associated properties. The compact representation is used in storing plans to "best" files, since these files store a tremendous amount of data. The non-compact read and print functions represent plans as if-then rules that are easily read by a user.

## rules.c

The rules.c file contains utilities the GA uses for processing rules, including compile\_rules() for organizing rules into a structure the CPS can use, copy\_rule(FILE \*fp, RULE \*r), print\_rule(FILE \*fp, RULE \*r), and read rule(FILE \*fp, RULE \*r).

#### save.c

The save.c file contains utilities that saves the current state of the GA, including a genealogical trace of the plans at that time. Procedure save\_state() calls save(char \*filename) periodically if the number of save files, Nsave, is greater than zero and the Save\_interval is specified. (The Save\_interval and the Nsaves variables are initialized through the save\_interval and save parameters in the params file, respectively.) The save(char \*filename) procedure is also called at the end of the run if Lastflag is set. (Lastflag is set through the lastflag parameter in the params file.) The save(char \*filename) writes out the file to Savefile. (Savefile is specified through the savefile parameter in the params file.) Finally, save\_state() calls geneology(). If the Geneology flag is set, geneology writes out the current generation's plans in a compact format to file geneology. (The Geneology flag is specified through parameter geneology in the params file.) The user should exercise care in setting the Geneology flag; geneology() generates a tremendous amount of output.

### sets.c

The sets.c file contains the following domain-independent set manipulation procedures: init\_set\_length(int n) obtains the set length in terms of integers given bit length n; set\_to\_empty(register SET s), sets elements of the set s to zero; set\_insert(register int n, register SET s), inserts integer n into set s; set\_is\_null(register SET s), tests whether or not set s is null; set\_intersect(register SET s1, register SET s2), "ANDs" set s1 with set s2 and places the result in set s1; set\_union(register SET s1, register SET s2), "ORs" set s1 with set s2 and places the result in set s1; set\_assign(register SET s1, register SET s2), writes set s2 to set s1; set\_delete(register int n, register SET s), deletes, if possible, n from set s; set\_extract(register int \*list, register SET s), removes a list of elements from set s, and set\_to\_full(register SET s), pads set s with zeros.

## APPENDIX D7: SHELL SCRIPT UTILITIES

Appendix D6 lists the shell scripts available and the purpose of each. See Chapter 7 for more information on how to use these scripts.

ch

Script ch permits a user to update a line in the params file.

### demo

Script demo file contains a script for running the SAMUEL demo.

# avegraph

The avegraph file generates the graph.all and graph.ave files. The graph.all file summarizes the results over all of the experimental runs; graph.ave file is the experimental average of graph.all. The avegraph script is used in run-samuel.

# getindex

The getindex file contains a script that filters out the index of the best plan from each graph file generated in the run-samuel script.

## mkgraph

The mkgraph file contains a script filters out the best plan for each epoch, given the extended evaluations of several plans each epoch (extended evaluations from the retest program). The mkgraph script is used in run-samuel.

p

The p script executes the UNIX more command taking params as an argument.

## run-samuel

Script run-samuel permits a user to run a set of experiments in both "training" and "testing" mode.

## ttest

Script ttest script performs a student t-test between the data points of two summary graph files generated by run-samuel.

# show

The show script permits a user view one or two graph files on a Sun workstation using the eview command. This command uses the plot file.

# wins

The wins file contains an awk script summarizing the trace file.

## APPENDIX D8: PROGRAM INPUT FILES

## attributes

The attributes file lists the attributes of each sensor and control. See Appendix B for an explanation of the attributes file.

## init

The init file specifies the rules to be placed in the initial plan of SAMUEL if the init parameter is 1 or 2.

# params

The **params** file contains all of the runtime parameters for SAMUEL. See Appendix A for a complete listing of these parameters.

# rules

The rules file contains rules for the initial population when running SAMUEL without the GA.

#### REFERENCES

- Baker, 1987. Baker, J. E., "Reducing bias and inefficiency in the selection algorithm," Genetic Algorithms and Their Applications: Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Genetic Algorithms, 14-21 (1987).
- De Jong, 1975. De Jong, Kenneth A., "An analysis of the behavior of a class of genetic adaptive systems," Doctoral Dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1975).
- Grefenstette, 1983. Grefenstette, John J. "A user's guide to GENESIS," Technical Report CS-83-11, Computer Science Department, Vanderbuilt University (1983).
- Grefenstette, 1986. Grefenstette, John J. "Optimization of control parameters for genetic algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, SMC-16(1), pp. 122-128 (1986).
- Grefenstette, 1988. Grefenstette, John J. "Credit assignment in rule discovery system based on genetic algorithm," *Machine Learning* 3(2/3)
- Grefenstette, 1989. Grefenstette, John J., "A system for learning control strategies with genetic algorithms," Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Genetic Algorithms and Their Applications, June 4 7, 1989 at the George Mason University, Fairfax, VA. ed. J. David Schaffer, Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo, California (1989)
- Grefenstette, 1990. Grefenstette, John J., Ramsey, Connie Loggia, and Schultz, Alan C., "Learning Sequential Decision Rules Using Simuation Models and Competition," Machine Learning Journal, (1990).
- Holland, 1975. Holland, John H., Adaptation in natural and artificial systems," University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1975).
- Holland, 1986. Holland, John H., Holyoak, Keith J., Nisbett, Richard E., Thagard, Paul R., Induction: Processes of Inference, Learning, and Discovery, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1986).
- Riolo, 1988. Riolo, Rick L., Empirical Studies of Default Hierarchies and Sequences of Rules in Learning Classifier Systems, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Michigan (1988).

- Schultz, 1990. Schultz, Alan and Grefenstette, John J. "Improving Tactical Plans with Genetic Algorithms", Second International Conference on Tools for Artificial Intelligence, IEEE, (1990).
- Wilson, 1987. Wilson, S. W., "Hierarchical credit allocation in a classifier system," Genetic Algorithms and Simulated Annealing, ed. L. Davis, Pitman, London, UK (1987).